

# Missouri Department of Public Safety



Edward Byrne Memorial Justice  
Assistance Grant Program

FY07 State Annual Report

## FOREWORD

On behalf of the state of Missouri and the Missouri Department of Public Safety, it is my pleasure to present the FY07 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program Annual Report. Since 1987, the Byrne/JAG Formula Program has been an essential resource in our continuing effort to meet the public safety needs of our states criminal justice community. The Missouri Department of Public Safety remains committed to assisting criminal justice agencies in making Missouri a safer place. The JAG Program makes it possible for Missouri to aggressively address the many public safety issues associated with illicit drugs and violent crime.

The FY07 State Annual Report is a comprehensive evaluation of state and local level projects that have received financial assistance through the JAG Program. During this reporting period, July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, the Missouri Department of Public Safety - Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program provided grant awards to 35 projects statewide. Financial assistance was provided to projects supporting drug education, drug enforcement, community based prevention, criminal litigation, criminal records improvement, and data analysis. By supporting the award of the JAG Program money for projects within these categories, we best serve the citizens of Missouri.

The Missouri Department of Public Safety remains committed to our vision, "By embracing the challenges of the future, the Department of Public Safety and the law enforcement community working together will provide the protection and service to create a quality of life in which all people feel safe and secure." The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program helps us realize this vision.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mark S. James". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mark S. James, Director  
Missouri Department of Public Safety

# Missouri Department of Public Safety

## Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program

### State Annual Report July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007

<b>Foreword .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Acknowledgements .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Section I - Introduction .....</b>	<b>6</b>
Program Overview.....	7
FY 07 Summary.....	7
FY 08 Strategic Plan Implementation.....	9
Coordinating Programs / Projects.....	10
<b>Section II - FY 2008 Evaluation and Activities.....</b>	<b>12</b>
Evaluation Design.....	13
Law Enforcement Programs.....	13
Prosecution and Court Programs.....	31
Prevention and Education Programs .....	32
Corrections and Community Corrections Program.....	33
Drug Treatment Programs.....	33
Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs.....	33
Crime Laboratory Projects.....	34
<b>Section III - FY 2007 Summary of Programs, Performance Measures, Evaluation Methods, and Evaluation Results.....</b>	<b>42</b>
Purpose Area: Law Enforcement .....	43
Purpose Area: Prosecution and Court.....	47
Purpose Area: Prevention and Education.....	52
Purpose Area: Corrections and Community Corrections.....	54
Purpose Area: Drug Treatment.....	56
Purpose Area: Planning, Evaluation, and Technology.....	58
<b>Section IV - Supplemental Information and Documentation.....</b>	<b>66</b>
Attachment A: Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force Fiscal Year 2007 Summary Report.....	67
Attachment B: Crime Laboratory Fiscal Year Summary Report.....	122

# Acknowledgements

## **Governor Matt Blunt**

**Director Mark S. James**  
**Missouri Department of Public Safety**

David Scott, Director  
Administrative Services  
Missouri Department of Public Safety

Eric E. Shepherd, Program Manager  
Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program

Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program Staff

Ralph Lindsey  
Dawn Bax  
Heather Haslag  
Sara Seaver

Ron Beck  
Susan Kuebler  
Statistical Analysis Center  
Missouri State Highway Patrol

The Missouri Department of Public Safety wishes to extend its appreciation to the Criminal Justice Agencies that provided the information included in this report.

Grant #2005-DJ-BX-1136 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice provided funding for this report.

Researched and prepared by:  
The Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program staff and members of the  
Statistical Analysis Center - Missouri State Highway Patrol

Submitted to the Bureau of Justice Assistance  
November 2007

# Executive Summary

In 1987, the Missouri Department of Public Safety initiated an administrative section within the Office of the Director, whose primary responsibility was to oversee and coordinate the dissemination of federal funding awards made to Missouri. This administrative section was implemented and titled as the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program (formerly known as the Narcotics Control Assistance Program or NCAP Section) in response to the establishment of the federal Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program authorized by Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. 3711 *et seq.* Additionally, the furtherance of the overall mission of the Missouri Department of Public Safety, as defined in Chapter 650 of the Missouri Revised Statutes, became and continues to be the directive for the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program. That mission is to provide a safe and secure environment for all individuals, through efficient and effective law enforcement.

Throughout the years, the Missouri Department of Public Safety, through the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program, has been involved in an on-going effort to identify the criminal justice needs of state and local units of government. As a result of this process, the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program has provided the financial and technical assistance required to initiate state and local level responses to crime and drug related issues. This response, which parallels the established objectives of the Justice Assistance Grant program as outlined by the U.S. Department of Justice - Office of Justice Programs, is the foundation for project initiatives within Missouri. It remains the priority of the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program to identify state and local initiatives which assist the State of Missouri in the enforcement of drug control or controlled substance laws, initiatives which emphasize the prevention and control of violent crime and serious offenders, and initiatives which improve the effectiveness of the state and local criminal justice system.

In compliance with section 522(a) of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act, the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program FY07 State Annual Report (SAR), will outline the impact of JAG Program funding on the criminal justice system within the jurisdictions of state and local government. During the reporting period covered in this annual report, July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program provided funding assistance in four authorized purpose areas. The total monetary award for this reporting period was \$6,583,668.24 for which the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program was able to provide financial assistance to 35 state and local level projects and administrative costs. This level of funding provided financial assistance to 29 Law Enforcement Programs, 3 Prosecution and Court Programs, 1 Prevention and Education Program, and 2 Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs.

For Fiscal Year 2008, the level of funding provides financial assistance to 28 Law Enforcement Programs, 3 Prosecution and Court Programs, 1 Prevention and Education Program, 2 Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs, and administrative costs.

The Missouri Department of Public Safety - Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program continues to be an essential component of the statewide effort to address violent crime and drugs. Through the JAG Program, Missouri has the financial capability to maintain essential projects that provide needed services for the criminal justice community. In addition to the initiatives previously described, the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program places an equally high priority on the development and continuation of projects and partnerships that enhance a state, or local unit of government's ability to implement aggressive responses to the public safety needs of their respective service areas. The Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program strives to implement progressive demand reduction, community, multi-jurisdictional, judicial, correctional, analytical and informational-based response strategies to the public safety threats of crime and drugs.

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

# I. Introduction

## Program Overview

The Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director manages the distribution of federal funds provided to the State by the U. S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program. The unit responsible for the management of these funds is the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program (CJ / LE Program). Since 1987, the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program provided criminal justice agencies with financial resources to confront drugs and violence. The Missouri Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director is committed to assisting state and local efforts to make Missouri a safer place. Dealing with illicit drugs and violent crime head-on is critical to this effort and Federal grant monies make this possible.

The Missouri Department of Public Safety has undertaken a comprehensive approach to utilizing the JAG dollars. Enforcement / interdiction, prevention / education, treatment, criminal litigation, improving criminal history records, and improving statewide illicit drug and violent crime data are the focus areas for the 2007 / 2008 funding year. By addressing these issues, we believe we can receive the most benefit for the citizens of Missouri.

Since the beginning of Byrne funding in 1987, the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS), CJ / LE Program, has developed a comprehensive strategic approach to the drug and violent crime problems facing Missouri. The current strategy has been designed as a strategic “road map” for the years of 2006 through 2008. The strategy developed by DPS – CJ / LE Program, in conjunction with the Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) of the Missouri State Highway Patrol, will provide the State of Missouri with a directional foundation for the next century.

The State of Missouri has, and will continue to build on past years’ successes by supporting effective projects, which are committed to the overall objective of a safer Missouri. DPS will continue to evaluate the effectiveness of each state and local project receiving federal money, to ensure that the goals and objectives of each project are addressing the needs of Missouri citizens.

## FY 07 Summary

The 2006 / 2007 funding year marked the first year of a four-year strategic plan. A total disbursement of \$6,583,668.24 was made by the DPS - CJ / LE Program in fiscal year 2007 to 35 state and local programs. Four purpose areas authorized by the 2006 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant were funded: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, and Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement Projects. Details of the funded purpose areas are provided below.

The FY07 program planning approach of the DPS – CJ / LE Program used statistical information obtained from various reporting entities throughout the State. This information not only aided in the identification of drug and crime related trends, but also assisted in the evaluation of projects supported by the DPS – CJ / LE Program. Quarterly reports required of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces collected valuable information on their activities and workload as well as information on drug prevalence, enforcement, and distribution of drug industries in the State. Programs funded under other purpose areas provided information in semi-annual and annual progress reports that described their activities and successes. Detailed reports of success are provided in Section III of this report.

### **Law Enforcement Programs**

Funding for Law Enforcement projects was the largest funding category for the DPS – CJ / LE Program during funding year 2006 / 2007. The DPS – CJ / LE Program awarded \$5,627,035.91 to twenty-eight (28) multi-jurisdictional /multi-agency drug enforcement groups and one (1) drug abatement teams throughout the State. Of the 114 counties in Missouri, 96 were active participants / members of the multi-jurisdictional enforcement effort. The focus of this category was the multi-jurisdictional, multi-agency counter-drug enforcement effort. During this reporting period, the DPS – CJ / LE Program again emphasized collaboration and partnerships within the multi-jurisdictional drug enforcement. A comprehensive Memorandum of Understanding / Agreement between all partners of multi-jurisdictional enforcement groups was required to identify comprehensive understanding of responsibilities and expectations. A Board of Directors was established that was responsible for the collective decision making process of each multi-jurisdictional drug enforcement group.

During the funding year 2006 / 2007, methamphetamine continued to be a priority target for an aggressive law enforcement strategy. As the scope of the methamphetamine problem extended beyond the capabilities of a single entity, many partnerships were forged in response to this threat to public safety, public health and the environmental sovereignty of our state. Through local, state and federal collaborations and continued aggressive responses, methamphetamine related activity peaked and began to decline.

During the past three fiscal years, the following statistics were collected for DPS – CJ / LE Program funded Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces in Missouri. Twenty-eight (28) task forces were funded in FY05, 28 in FY06 and 27 in FY07. The following statistics are an example of the data collected through the Quarterly Report. More detailed information can be reviewed in Section III and IV of this report.

	<u><b>FY 2005</b></u>	<u><b>FY 2006</b></u>	<u><b>FY 2007</b></u>
<b>Arrested with one or more drug charges:</b>	7,670	7,430	6,485
<b>Arrested with no drug charges:</b>	1,374	1,263	942
<b>Total drug arrests:</b>	9,044	8,693	7,427
<b>Search warrants served:</b>	1,254	1,252	1,047
<b>Consent searches performed:</b>	4,452	4,080	3,606
<b>Methamphetamine labs seized/destroyed:</b>	1,827	3,769	906
<b>New drug distribution organizations identified:</b>	148	145	162
 <u><b>Ounces of Drugs Seized</b></u>			
<b>Marijuana:</b>	195,159.02	311,137.66	179,388.80
<b>Methamphetamine:</b>	4,121.92	3,200.06	6,720.88
<b>Cocaine:</b>	15,141.40	14,232	17,967.60
<b>Crack:</b>	1,960.59	5,919.25	666.63
<b>Heroin:</b>	649.38	1,331.40	739.28
<b>LSD:</b>	3.18	8.48	0.60
<b>PCP:</b>	9.75	535.16	530.89
<b>Ecstasy:</b>	36,613.40	29.35	202.37
<b>Pseudoephedrine / Ephedrine:</b>	8,839.74	3,282.01	280.16
<b>Anhydrous Ammonia:</b>	501.00	9,744	7,786.49
<b>Other Drugs:</b>	1,584.30	39,815.2	1,315.45
 <b>Total value of all drugs seized:</b>	 \$91,713,484	 \$93,864,662	 \$35,903,821
 <u><b>Doses of Drugs Seized</b></u>			
<b>Ecstasy:</b>	NA	19,579	202.37
<b>Pseudoephedrine / Ephedrine:</b>	NA	48,418	280.16
 <u><b>Gallons of Drug Precursors Seized</b></u>			
<b>Anhydrous Ammonia:</b>	NA	1,631	2,205

<u><b>Top Five Drug Arrest Charge Codes:</b></u>	<u><b>FY 2005</b></u>	<u><b>FY 2006</b></u>	<u><b>FY 2007</b></u>
Sale/Methamphetamine	Poss/Marijuana	Poss/Marijuana	Poss/Marijuana
Poss/Marijuana	Poss/Methamphetamine	Poss/Methamphetamine	Poss/Methamphetamine
Poss/Methamphetamine	Sale/Methamphetamine	Sale/Methamphetamine	Sale/Methamphetamine
Poss/Crack	Poss/Paraphernalia	Poss/Paraphernalia	Poss/Paraphernalia
Poss/Paraphernalia	Poss/Crack	Sale/Marijuana	

\*The above statistical data is obtained from the Quarterly Reports submitted by the multi-jurisdictional enforcement groups receiving JAG funding between July 1, 2004 and June 30, 2007.

### **Prosecution and Court Programs**

During the 2006 / 2007 funding period, the Prosecution and Court purpose area received funding in the amount of \$169,699.76 and provided financial assistance to three (3) projects. This purpose area provided support to enhance the response of criminal justice agencies to domestic violence and child abuse and neglect crimes. Projects receiving financial assistance continue to

see increased coordination amongst agencies and enhanced investigations. The specialized and improved investigation processes result in higher prosecution rates and a deterrence of others to engage in such criminal behavior.

#### **Prevention and Education Programs**

During the 2006 / 2007 funding period, the Prevention and Education purpose area received funding in the amount of \$348,284.95 and provided financial assistance to one (1) project. The project funded under this purpose area provided supplies and reference materials to law enforcement agencies in the state to deter risk of injury to staff, the public, and the environment associated with disposing of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. This project was also responsible for responding to on-site emergency situations involving clandestine methamphetamine laboratory accidents.

#### **Corrections and Community Corrections Programs**

No projects were funded under the Corrections and Community Corrections purpose area during the 2006 / 2007 funding period.

#### **Drug Treatment Programs**

No projects were funded under the Drug Treatment purpose area during the 2006 / 2007 funding period.

#### **Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs**

During the 2006 / 2007 funding period, the Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Program purpose area received funding in the amount of \$438,647.62 and provided financial assistance to two (2) projects. The enhancement of the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner, remained a top priority for the State of Missouri. The ultimate goal of this approved purpose area was to provide the financial mechanism that enables the State to collect required criminal records data from all criminal justice entities and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. In addition, local criminal justice agencies must be automated for criminal justice reporting to the state central repository if the reports are to be timely, accurate and complete.

#### **Missouri Department of Public Safety – Administration**

During the 2006 / 2007 funding cycle, the Missouri Department of Public Safety utilized \$370.74 of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program for administrative costs associated with the management and coordination of the JAG Program. With this financial assistance, the Missouri Department of Public Safety was able to support, in part or in whole, the DPS CJ / LE Program staff and supporting DPS staff.

## **FY 08 STRATEGIC PLAN IMPLEMENTATION**

In January 2007, the DPS – CJ / LE Program staff conducted a grant workshop for local agencies for the 2007 / 2008 grant cycle. This workshop was held in Jefferson City, Missouri. All eligible applicants were invited to attend the workshop, which explained the grant application process.

Implementation of the 2007 / 2008 funding year began with the review of project applications by a grant review committee consisting of the DPS – CJ / LE Program staff and individuals from the criminal justice and private sector. Approximately 59 requests for Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) funding were reviewed within the approved project categories as described below. The grant evaluation process was competitive in nature, and only those grant applications determined to coordinate with the goals and objectives of the statewide strategy were considered for funding. Thirty-four (34) grant awards were made to state and local recipients. The federal JAG award to the State of Missouri for fiscal year 2007 / 2008 was \$6,235,117.00. Following is a brief summary on each category funded through the DPS – CJ / LE Program during the 2007 / 2008 funding cycle. Evaluation plans for these programs are provided in Section II of this report.

#### **Law Enforcement Programs**

Funding for Law Enforcement projects is the largest funding category for the DPS – CJ / LE Program during funding year 2007 / 2008. The DPS – CJ / LE Program awarded \$4,964,379.25 to twenty-seven (27) multi-jurisdictional / multi-agency drug enforcement groups and one (1) drug abatement response team throughout the state. Of the 114 counties in the State of Missouri, 95 are active participants / members of the multi-jurisdictional enforcement effort.

The focus of this category is the multi-jurisdictional, multi-agency counter-drug enforcement effort. During this reporting period, the DPS – CJ / LE Program has placed emphasis on collaboration and partnerships within the multi-jurisdictional approach to drug enforcement. A comprehensive understanding of responsibilities and expectations by task force partners is established with a Memorandum of Understanding / Agreement between all partners of the multi-jurisdictional enforcement

group. Additionally, a Board of Directors was responsible for the collective decision making process of each multi-jurisdictional enforcement group.

During 2007 / 2008, methamphetamine continues to be a priority for an aggressive law enforcement strategy that is designed to slow or halt the spread of this drug. Because the problems associated with this drug transcend boundaries, partnerships have been forged to address public safety, public health and the environmental sovereignty of our state in response to methamphetamine. Through local, state and federal collaborations and a continued aggressive response, we anticipate the decline in methamphetamine related activity noted in previous fiscal years will continue.

#### **Prosecution and Court Programs**

During the 2007 / 2008 funding period, Prosecution and Court programs will receive funding in the amount of \$94,955.38. This approved purpose area provides financial assistance to three (3) projects to implement and enhance the response of criminal justice agencies to criminal activity. Training of law enforcement, prosecution, judicial, and medical staff was also provided on proper handling / processing of these cases as well as establishment of communication lines between involved criminal justice agencies leads to effective resolution of this problem.

#### **Prevention and Education Programs**

During the 2007 / 2008 funding period, Prevention and Education programs will receive funding in the amount of \$237,542.26. This approved purpose area provides financial assistance to one (1) project. This purpose area aids in providing the proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service and other emergency response officials to help them safely respond to methamphetamine laboratory incidents and perform their jobs with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public, and the environment.

#### **Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Programs**

During the 2007 / 2008 funding period, Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement projects will receive funding in the amount of \$221,729.85. This approved purpose area provides financial assistance to two (2) projects to enhance the State's ability to collect accurate criminal history record information, in a timely manner. This goal remains a top priority for the State of Missouri and this approved purpose area provides the financial mechanism that enables the State to collect the required criminal records data from all criminal justice entities and provide the appropriate storage mechanism within the Missouri Criminal Records Repository. In addition, local criminal justice agencies are assisted with automated criminal justice reporting to the state central repository to ensure reports are timely, accurate and complete.

## **Coordinating Programs / Projects:**

#### **1033 Excess Property Program**

From July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 there were 1,813 property items issued (down 21% from 2,295 items in FY06) with a total acquisition value of \$860,961 (down 19% from \$1,061,444 in FY06). 446 agencies were approved to receive property (down 8% from 484 in FY06). Some of this decrease can be attributed to the ongoing military actions in the Middle East resulting in a decrease in available property.

There has also been a decrease in the number of agencies that are registering to participate in the 1033 Excess Property Program, along with a decrease in the number of agencies that are submitting requests for property. Many local agencies are still experiencing financial and manpower cutbacks that have forced them to find alternative means to receive equipment. At the same time, however, the amount of available property in the 1033 Program has also decreased the number of agencies submitting requests for property. The electronic screening process for the 1033 Program has assisted 8 (down 33 % from 12 in FY06) of the participating agencies in locating property by means of the Internet-based website for the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Services (DRMS). Due to a major downsizing of the DRMS and reduction of the number of Defense Reutilization Marketing Offices (DRMO) nationwide (none in Missouri), it is now necessary to travel greater distances or pay higher shipping costs to retrieve items tagged on the Internet-based screening system. Major items have become extremely difficult to locate, and the number of agencies nationwide competing to receive these items has increased making it more difficult to obtain them.

A major portion of the items tagged is information technology (IT) equipment such as desktop and laptop computer systems. In order to assist the law enforcement agencies receiving these computer systems, the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS) 1033 Program State Coordinator's warehouse has been approved as a Transitional Distribution Center with the Defense Logistical Agency (DLA) Law Enforcement Support Office (LESO). All IT equipment is screened and tagged, picked up from

the DRMO's, and transported back to the State Coordinator's warehouse. Staff then replaces the operating systems and issues the computers to the local agencies from the warehouse. This had been a successful effort due to the continued assistance to DPS from the Missouri National Guard Counter-Drug Program personnel. However, due to an increase in the number of personnel being activated, the National Guard Counter-Drug Program has had to withdraw the assistance provided to the 1033 Program thus hampering the ability to get computers refurbished and issued to the local agencies waiting for the equipment.

The Department of Public Safety has also been asked by the Governor of Missouri to enroll in and oversee an additional Equipment Program for law enforcement, the 1122 Counter-Drug Purchase Program. This program makes new equipment available to law enforcement for counter-drug activities through the Federal General Services Administration (GSA), Defense Logistics Agency, or Department of the Army at the volume contract prices they receive, which will be a savings to local agencies from 10% to 75% of local list prices. The 1033 Program staff will oversee and manage this program as it runs hand in hand with the 1033 Program. The 1122 program was scheduled to be up and running by July 1, 2007 but has been delayed due to the loss of assistance from the National Guard Counter-Drug Program and failure of a contracted vendor to complete the database tracking software for this program in a timely manner. Therefore, it is anticipated the 1122 Program will not be operational until January 2, 2008.

#### **Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program**

The Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program, now approaching its tenth year of funding, has become an essential funding mechanism for law enforcement. Requiring as little as 10% match, this program is essential for small law enforcement agencies with limited resources, whose funding requests support the program objective of reducing crime and improving public safety. Originating in the HR728 Local Government Law Enforcement Block Grant Act of 1995, and authorized under the Omnibus Fiscal Year 1996 Appropriations Act (Public Law 104-134), this program continues to enhance the strategy and efforts of DPS – CJ / LE Program.

During the 2006 / 2007 reporting period, DPS made 123 grant awards to law enforcement agencies across the State. The total award amount for this period was \$761,917.47. Short-term contracts are awarded in amounts up to \$10,000 for purchase of basic law enforcement and officer safety equipment that will enable Missouri law enforcement to meet their local needs. Such items include, but are not limited to light bars, sirens, mobile and portable radios, flashlights, handcuffs, protective clothing, in-car cages, in-car cameras, locks, and trauma kits. The Local Law Enforcement Block Grant contracts, administered by the Missouri Department of Public Safety, are awarded only to law enforcement agencies through their respective city or county.

#### **Internet Cyber Crime Grant Program (ICCG)\***

The Internet Cyber Crime Grant Program is a State funded grant initiated in fiscal year 2007. Although ICCG is not directly funded by JAG, it is included in this report because of its importance to improving public safety and reducing crime within the State of Missouri. The total award amount for this period was \$242,500.00 and the contract period began December 15, 2006. During the 2006 / 2007 reporting period, ICCG contracts were for a duration of 6 months and required a 10% cash match of the total project cost. The goal of this program is to make funds available to Multi-Jurisdictional Internet Cyber Crime Law Enforcement Task Forces and other law enforcement agencies to prevent and control Internet cyber crime and improve public safety. During the 2006 / 2007 reporting period, this goal was achieved through payment of detective and computer forensic personnel salaries whose focus was investigation of Internet sex crimes against children, including but not limited to, enticement of a child and possession / promotion of child pornography, and to provide funding for the training of law enforcement personnel for these investigations.

\*State of Missouri funded grant program

## **II. FY 2008 EVALUATION AND ACTIVITIES**

# II. FY 2008 Evaluation and Activities

## Evaluation Design

The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG), formerly known as Edward Byrne Memorial State Grant and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Formula Block Grant Program, provides criminal justice authorities with substantial support in their endeavors to address Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems. The U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Administration (BJA) administers this program at the federal level, and the Missouri Department of Public Safety (DPS) administers it at the state level. In Missouri, this program is known as the Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program and will be referred to as CJ / LE Program throughout this report.

Program evaluation is an essential CJ / LE Program responsibility required by its enabling legislation. To meet this responsibility, BJA has provided states with guidelines, technical training, and support for assessing CJ / LE Program projects. In Missouri, the DPS has contracted with the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP), Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) to administer the evaluation component of the CJ / LE Program and play a major role in development of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy.

The following is a description of the FY08 CJ / LE Program project evaluation designs developed by SAC and approved by DPS. These evaluations are mostly administrative or process in nature.

## Law Enforcement Programs

The Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Program continues to be a critical component to drug enforcement efforts throughout the State. This concept takes a multi-agency approach where resources and manpower can be combined to cover a larger geographic area. Agents working for task forces are commissioned to work within any jurisdiction participating in the program. Cooperation and communication within these units is the key to being successful in their enforcement efforts. Cooperative agreements are developed for all agencies involved in the task force as well as entering into agreements with federal agencies. Law Enforcement projects are required to complete either a Quarterly Progress Report or submit semi- and annual progress status reports. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period.

**JACKSON COUNTY DRUG ABATEMENT RESPONSE TEAM (DART):** This project continues support to the DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. The goal of this program is to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area is restored and protected. Suspected drug activity can be anonymously reported to DART members who then communicate the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinates street level investigations, buy / bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities are provided by DART members.

**EVALUATION DESIGN:** The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management and support services employed to implement the project.
- Number of citizen reports of drug activity received by DART.
- Number of drug houses and drug distribution operations closed.
- Number of property owners trained on drug activity recognition.
- Number of buy / bust / reverse sting operations coordinated with Patrol officers, community police, and prosecutors.
- Number of property fire hazard and building code inspections completed, and number of notifications of drug activity made to property owners.
- Number of community organizations given drug awareness presentations or training.
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of this project.

## **MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL TASK FORCE PROJECTS**

The following multi-jurisdictional drug task forces are required to submit quarterly progress reports to the MJTF Automated Information System.

**Bootheel Drug Task Force**  
**Buchanan County Drug Strike Force**  
**Cameron Missouri NITRO Drug Task Force**  
**Clay County Drug Task Force**  
**Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team**  
**East Central Drug Task Force**  
**Franklin County Narcotics Enforcement Unit**  
**Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**  
**Jasper County Drug Task Force**  
**Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group**  
**Kansas City Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force**  
**Lafayette County Narcotics Unit Task Force**  
**Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group**  
**Mid-Missouri Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**  
**Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team and Narcotics Unit**  
**Mineral Area Drug Task Force**  
**North County MEG Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**  
**North Missouri Drug Task Force**  
**Northeast Missouri Narcotics Task Force**  
**Platte County Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Group**  
**South Central Drug Task Force**  
**Southeast Missouri Drug Task Force**  
**Southwest Missouri Drug Task Force**  
**St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force**  
**St. Clair Community Narcotics Enforcement Team**  
**St. Louis City Metro Multi-Jurisdictional Undercover Drug Program**  
**St. Louis County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**

EVALUATION DESIGN: Drug Task Forces are required to complete quarterly progress reports compliant with the Drug Task Force Automated Information System.

## Instructions for completing:

### Missouri Department of Public Safety Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Quarterly Progress Report

This instruction sheet is to aid Multi-jurisdictional Task Force (MJTF) grantees in completing the required quarterly progress report for the Missouri Department of Public Safety.

1. **Date Submitted** Self-explanatory
2. **Grant Name**
3. **Contact Person** As designated in MJTF contract with the Dept. of Public Safety
4. **Contact Person's Agency Name**
5. **E-Mail Address**
6. **Phone No.** Self-explanatory
1. **Quarterly Reporting Period**

#### 8. Number of law enforcement agencies involved in multi-jurisdictional task force (MJTF) work activities

The total number of law enforcement agencies comprising the MJTF as well as any others participating in MJTF work activities during the reporting period. **(DO NOT duplicate statistical data that has been reported by another participating agency.)**

#### 9. Number of law enforcement officers participating in MJTF work activities

A) and B): Self explanatory.

#### 10. Investigations/Cases

- A) The number of MJTF investigations/cases *active* at the start of the quarter. For the second and subsequent quarters, the number of "carried in" active cases should match those reported in Question 10 E) on the previous quarter's report. **Investigations/Cases** should be counted as those incidents involving task force action resulting in **post-response reports being written**. Until this occurs, tips and information received should be considered gathered intelligence, not individual cases.
- B) The number of *new* investigations/cases initiated during the quarter.
- C) The *total* number of MJTF cases active during the quarter. This number should be the sum of item A and item B.
- D) The number of cases disposed of by the MJTF during the quarter.
- E) The *total* number of cases *remaining active* at the end of the quarter. (Subtract item D from item C.)  
NOTE: Enter this number on line **10. A)** of the next Quarterly Progress Report.
- F) The number of MJTF cases with evidence submitted this quarter to a State crime lab.

#### 11. Arrest Activity

- A) The number of people arrested and charged with one or more *drug* offenses.
- B) The number of people arrested and charged with other criminal offenses *not* involving drugs.  
For the *total* number of people arrested through MJTF actions during the quarter, add items A and B and enter the sum on the appropriate line.
- C) All law enforcement charges associated with offenders arrested through MJTF actions during the quarter. All charges proffered against offenders are to be listed. Total *charges* must equal or exceed the total number of persons arrested. For example, a drug user is arrested for possession of crack. After arrest, he assaults an officer. The quarterly report should indicate a charge for crack *possession* listed under 1) Drug Paraphernalia/Possession and a charge for resisting arrest/assault against police listed under 3) Other Charges. Result: One arrested person is reported with two charges (illicit drug possession and assault) from this single incident.  
(NOTE: There is no longer a need to total the charges by category at the top of each column.)
  - 1) The number and type of charges related to drug *paraphernalia/possession* during the reporting period.
  - 2) The number and type of charges related to drug *sales and/or manufacturing* during the reporting period.
  - 3) The number and type of *non-drug charges* during the reporting period.

## 12. Informant Expenses, Drug Purchases and Free Samples

- A) The number of drug buys made through MJTF activities during the reporting period.
- B) Dollar value of drugs purchased through drug buys during the reporting period.
- C) The number of reverse drug buys made through MJTF activities during the reporting period.
- D) Dollar value of reverse drug buys during the reporting period.
- E) The number of free drug samples received during the reporting period.
- F) The *estimated* dollar value of drugs received through free samples during the reporting period. Use the local street value of the drugs at the time they were received to make the estimate.
- G) The quantities and type of drugs acquired through drug buys, reverse drug buys, *and* free samples received during the reporting period. Enter the suspected drug type; do not wait for scientific lab examination results. Drug weights may be reported using various units of measure (kg., lb., oz, grams, etc.). For example, two kilos of cocaine are purchased from one distributor, another kilo is purchased from a second distributor in another case, five ounces are acquired through free samples, and eight grams are obtained from street buys during the quarter. In Section 12. E) 2) Cocaine, enter 3 in the "Kilograms" column, 5 in the "Ounces" column, and 8 in the "Grams" column.
- H) The total number of active informants paid during the reporting period.
- I) The total dollar amount expended acquiring information from active informants during the reporting period.

## 13. Tracking Drug Trafficking Organizations

- A) The number of new Drug Trafficking Organizational and/or Link Analysis Charts completed during the period through MJTF work activities.
- B) The number of new drug trafficking organizations identified through MJTF operations during the reporting period.

## 14. Search Warrants

- A) The number of search warrants *applied for* by the MJTF during the reporting period.
- B) The number of search warrants *authorized for service* by the MJTF during the reporting period.
- C) The number of search warrants *served* by the MJTF during the reporting period.  
***In the narrative (item #18), please indicate the number of warrants served in each county of your jurisdiction.***
- D) The number of search warrants served by the MJTF during the reporting period *which resulted in drug and/or paraphernalia seizures*.
- E) The number of consent searches and "knock and talk" incidents involving the MJTF during the reporting period.

## 15. Marijuana Eradicated and Methamphetamine Drug Labs Destroyed

- A) The quantities of marijuana destroyed *through eradication operations* during the reporting period. Enter the suspected marijuana type; do not wait for scientific lab examination results. Marijuana weight may be reported using various units of measure (kg., lb., oz, grams, etc.). For example, 50 lbs. of wild "ditchweed", 32 kilos of cultivated marijuana, and 10 sinsemilla plants are destroyed through eradication during the quarter. In Section 15. A) 1) Wild, enter 50 in the "Pounds" column. On line 2) Cultivated, enter 32 in the "Kilograms" column. On line 3) Sinsemilla, enter 10 in the "Plants" column.  
NOTE: If a quantity of marijuana is seized for evidence and *not destroyed*, enter it in Section 16.
- B) The number of methamphetamine drug labs destroyed during the reporting period. Please indicate the number of methamphetamine drug labs destroyed in each county (see question 18). NOTE: If there is some question as to whether or not the destroyed lab is a methamphetamine lab, please contact Mr. Eric E. Shepherd, Missouri Department of Public Safety, at (573) 751-5997.

**16. Drug Seizures**

- A) The estimated *dollar value* of all drugs *seized* during the quarter. Use the local street value of the drugs at the time they were seized. NOTE: Do not include marijuana destroyed through eradication operations as reported in Section 15.
- B) The *quantities and type* of drugs *seized* during the reporting period. Enter the suspected drug type; do not wait for scientific lab examination results. Drug weights may be reported using various units of measure (kg., lb., oz, grams, etc.). For example, five kilos of cocaine are seized in three investigations/cases and 10 grams are seized in another during the quarter. In Section 16. B) 2) Cocaine, enter 5 in the "Kilograms" column and 10 in the "Grams" column.

**17. Property Seizures/Forfeitures**

The *number* and *estimated dollar value* of property *seized or forfeited* during the quarter by type. Enter seizures and forfeitures separately. If property is seized and forfeited during the same reporting period, enter the quantity and dollar value of the property under both the "Seized during reporting period" and "Forfeited during reporting period" columns.

**18. Describe all work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the sections above. Also, please indicate the number of search warrants served and the number of methamphetamine drug labs destroyed in each county of your jurisdiction:**

Indicate any other activity or information not reported elsewhere on this form that directly addresses any action and/or condition specified in your MJTF contract. In addition, include a description of any other activities that will assist the Department of Public Safety to properly review and evaluate the program. For example, it might be appropriate to describe (without *confidential* information or details) a lengthy intelligence operation that has not yet resulted in arrests or significant drug/asset seizures. Describe all special training programs completed by MJTF officers (SERT, polygraph, or criminal prosecution classes, for example). Please mention topics and areas of concern you would like to discuss at the next Dept. of Public Safety Task Force quarterly meeting. Also indicate the number of search warrants served and methamphetamine labs destroyed in each county of your jurisdiction for the reporting period.

**19. Signature of Officer in Charge and 20. Date:**

Sections 19 and 20 are self-explanatory.

**Note: When completed, please return the original along with a copy to:**

Missouri Department of Public Safety  
Attn: Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program  
P.O. Box 749  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

If you have any questions on how to complete this form, contact Ms. Susan Kuebler at (573) 751-9000 ext. 2218.

# Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Quarterly Progress Report

1. Date Submitted \_\_\_\_\_  
mo. day yr.

2. Grant Name \_\_\_\_\_

3. Contact Person \_\_\_\_\_

4. Agency Name \_\_\_\_\_

5. E-Mail Address \_\_\_\_\_

6. Phone Number ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

7. Quarterly Reporting Period \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ Circle Quarter Number Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4  
mo. yr. mo. yr.

8. No. of law enforcement agencies involved in multi-jurisdictional task force (MJTF) work activities \_\_\_\_\_

9. No. of law enforcement officers participating in MJTF work activities

A) Assigned Part Time \_\_\_\_\_ B) Assigned Full Time \_\_\_\_\_

10. Investigations/Cases

A) No. of active investigations/cases carried in from last quarter \_\_\_\_\_

B) No. of new investigations/cases initiated this quarter + \_\_\_\_\_

C) Total No. of cases active during this quarter (Add item A to item B) = \_\_\_\_\_

D) No. of cases disposed of this quarter - \_\_\_\_\_

E) No. of cases carried into next quarter (Subtract item D from item C) = \_\_\_\_\_

F) No. cases with evidence submitted this quarter to a State crime lab \_\_\_\_\_

11. Arrest Activity

A) No. of persons arrested for one or more drug offenses \_\_\_\_\_

B) No. of persons arrested for other types of criminal offenses (no drug charges) + \_\_\_\_\_

Total No. of persons arrested (Add item A to item B) = \_\_\_\_\_

C) Total No. of charges associated with arrests:

1) Drug Paraphernalia/Possession	2) Drug Sales/Manufacture	3) Other Charges
a) Marijuana _____	a) Marijuana _____	a) Resisting Arrest/ _____
c) Crack _____	c) Crack _____	Police _____
e) Heroin/Opiates _____	e) Heroin/Opiates _____	c) Assault _____
f) Hallucinogens - LSD _____	f) Hallucinogens - LSD _____	d) Child Endanger. _____
g) Hallucinogens - PCP _____	g) Hallucinogens - PCP _____	e) Kidnapping _____
h) Paraphernalia _____	h) Ecstasy _____	f) Weapons _____
i) Ecstasy _____	i) Pseudoephedrine/ _____	g) Other _____
j) Pseudoephedrine/ _____	Ephedrine _____	
k) Anhydrous Ammonia _____	j) Anhydrous Ammonia _____	
l) Other illicit drugs _____	k) Other illicit drugs _____	

**12. Informant Expenses, Drug Purchases and Free Samples**

A) No. of drug buys made: \_\_\_\_\_

B) Dollar value of drug buys during this period: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

C) No. of reverse drug buys made: \_\_\_\_\_

D) Dollar value of reverse drug buys during this period: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

E) No. of free samples received: \_\_\_\_\_

F) Estimated dollar value of drugs received from free samples during this period: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

G) Drugs purchased and/or received from drug buys, reverse drug buys, and free samples (Enter quantities at time of receipt):

	Kilograms	Pounds	Ounces	Grams	Doses/Pills
1) Marijuana	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2) Cocaine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3) Crack	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
4) Methamphetamine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5) Heroin/ Opiates	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
6) Hallucinogens - LSD	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
7) Hallucinogens -PCP	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
8) Ecstasy	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
9) Pseudoephedrine/Ephedrine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
10) Anhydrous Ammonia	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
11) Other illicit drugs	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

H) No. of active informants paid \_\_\_\_\_

I) Total dollars expended on active informants \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**13. Tracking Drug Trafficking Organizations**

A) No. of new Drug Trafficking Organization Charts and/or Link Analysis Charts completed this identified this quarter \_\_\_\_\_

B) No. of new Drug Trafficking Organizations quarter \_\_\_\_\_

**14. Search Warrants**

A) No. of search warrants applied for during this period: \_\_\_\_\_

B) No. of search warrants authorized during this period: \_\_\_\_\_

C) No. of search warrants served during this period:\* \_\_\_\_\_

D) No. of search warrants served resulting in drug and/or paraphernalia seizures: \_\_\_\_\_

E) No. of consent searches conducted during this period: \_\_\_\_\_

\* Please indicate (in the narrative) the number of warrants served in each county of your jurisdiction.

- 15. Marijuana Eradicated and Methamphetamine Drug Labs Destroyed** - Indicate the types of marijuana destroyed through eradication operations. Indicate the number of methamphetamine drug labs destroyed as a result of search warrants, consent searches, arrests, and/or other multi-jurisdictional task force actions.

(Enter quantities at time of incident):

A) Marijuana destroyed:	Kilograms	Pounds	Ounces	Grams	Plant
1) Wild	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2) Cultivated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3) Sinsemilla	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

B) No. of methamphetamine drug labs destroyed: \_\_\_\_\_

**In the narrative, please indicate the county (or counties) the methamphetamine drug labs were destroyed and the number of labs destroyed in each county.**

- 16. Drug Seizures** - Describe the types of drugs seized as a result of search warrants, consent searches, and arrests. \_\_\_\_\_

(Exclude drug buys and free samples):

A) Estimated dollar value of all drugs seized, based on local street cost: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

B) Drugs seized (Enter quantities at time of seizure):

	Kilograms	Pounds	Ounces	Grams	Doses/Pills
1) Marijuana	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2) Cocaine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3) Crack	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
4) Methamphetamine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5) Heroin/Opiates	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
6) Hallucinogens - LSD	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
7) Hallucinogens - PCP	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
8) Ecstasy	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
9) Psuedoephedrine / Ephedrine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
10) Anhydrous Ammonia	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
11) Other illicit drugs	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

**17. Property Seizures/Forfeitures:**

	Seized during reporting period		Forfeited during reporting period	
	Quantity	Est. Value	Quantity	Est. Value
A) Real Estate/Buildings and Homes	_____	_____	_____	_____
B) Real Estate/Land items, stamp/coin collections, jewelry, etc.)	_____	_____	_____	_____
	_____	_____	_____	_____
D) Motor Vehicles	_____	_____	_____	_____
E) Weapons	_____	_____	_____	_____
F) Currency (\$)		_____		_____
G) Other Assets - Describe:				
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

18. Describe all work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the sections above. Also, please indicate the number search warrants served and the number of methamphetamine drug labs destroyed in each county of your jurisdiction.

---



---



---



---



---

19. Signature of Officer in Charge \_\_\_\_\_ 20. Date \_\_\_\_\_

## Instructions For Completing Missouri Department of Public Safety Multi-Jurisdictional Task Forces Tally Sheets

These instructions are designed to aid you in filling out the Multi-Jurisdictional Task Forces (MJTF) tally sheets. Data entered then can be used to complete the MJTF quarterly progress report required by Department of Public Safety. **Use of these tally sheets is strictly optional.** If you currently have manual and/or automated systems available to complete the quarterly progress report, the tally sheets should not be used. However, if you do not, use of one or more, if not all, of the tally forms is recommended.

### 1. Case Log Tally Sheet (used to complete question 10 on MJTF quarterly progress report)

At the start of the reporting period, list all active investigations/cases carried in. As new investigations/cases are initiated, add them to this tally sheet. As investigations/cases are disposed of, annotate the appropriate entries on this sheet.

**Quarter:** Enter beginning and ending month and year of quarterly reporting period.

**Case No.:** Enter MJTF-related investigation/case number.

**Date initiated:** Enter month, day, and year investigation/case was originally initiated.

**Status:** Indicate whether case was carried in from a previous quarter or initiated in this quarter.

**Disposed of in Quarter:** Indicate whether or not case was disposed of this quarter.

**Date of Disposal:** If case was disposed of during this quarter, enter month, day and year of disposal.

*Instructions on how to use this tally sheet to complete the MJTF quarterly progress report.*

- 10A Sum number of investigations/cases identified as carry-ins on tally sheet.
- 10B Sum number of investigations/cases identified as initiated on tally sheet.
- 10C Sum items 10A and 10B.
- 10D Sum number of investigations/cases identified as being disposed of on tally sheet.
- 10E Subtract 10D from 10C to arrive at number of investigations/cases carried out.

### 2. Drug Acquisition Tally Sheet (used to complete questions 12, 15A, and 16 on MJTF quarterly progress report)

As drugs are acquired during reporting period as a result of MJTF work activities, they should be added to the tally sheet. If more than one type of drug is acquired in an investigation/case, they should all be listed.

**Quarter:** Enter beginning and ending month and year of quarterly progress report.

**Date of Activity:** Enter month, day, and year of drug acquisition.

**Case No.:** Enter MJTF-related investigation/case number.

**Type of Acquisition:** Indicate under what circumstances the drug was acquired. In marijuana eradication operations, if the marijuana is immediately destroyed, circle **4** for eradicated. If some marijuana is held for evidence, make a separate line entry using the same date of activity and case number and update the type of acquisition field with a **3** (seized).

**Drug Type:** Enter suspected drug type. Do not wait for scientific examination results. If drug type is marijuana, indicate if it was wild, cultivated, or sinsemilla.

**Quantity:** Indicate quantity of the drug acquired.

**Measure:** Indicate measure used to classify the quantity, such as kilograms, pounds, plants, etc.

**Est. \$ Value:** Indicate actual or estimated dollar value of drugs acquired.

- 12A Sum number of drug buys by examining "Type of Acquisition" field on tally sheet.
- 12B Of those identified as drug buys, sum estimated dollar values.
- 12C Sum number of reverse drug buys by examining "Type of Acquisition" field on tally sheet.
- 12D Of those identified as reverse drug buys, sum estimated dollar values.
- 12E Sum number of free samples by examining type of acquisition field on tally sheet.
- 12F Of those identified as free samples, sum estimated dollar values.
- 12G Of those identified as drug buys, reverse drug buys, or free samples, identify quantities by drug type.
- 15A Of those identified as eradicated, sum quantities by marijuana type.
- 16A Of those identified as seized, sum estimated dollar values.
- 16B Of those identified as seized, identify quantities by drug type.

### 3. Informant Expenditure Tally Sheet (used to complete questions 12H and 12I on

**MJTF quarterly progress report)**

As informants are paid for services rendered as a result of MJTF work activities, they should be added to the tally sheet. At the end of the reporting period, sum the total number of informants being paid to answer question 12H. Please note: If an informant is paid on three separate occasions, count that informant only once. Sum total amount of money expended to answer question 12I.

**Quarter:** Enter beginning and ending month and year of quarterly reporting period.

**Date of Activity:** Enter month, day and year of transaction with informant.

**Case No.:** Enter MJTF-related investigation/case number.

**Officer No.:** Enter identification number of officer involved in transaction.

**Informant Name/Alias:** Enter name or alias of informant involved in transaction.

**Informant Number:** Enter a number assigned by the MJTF to each individual informant.

**NOTE:** Because the names or aliases of informants are listed on this tally sheet, it should be considered confidential material. Access to it should be limited, and it should be stored in a secure location.

*Instructions on how to use this tally sheet to complete the MJTF quarterly progress report.*

12H Using MJTF-assigned Informant Numbers, determine how many informants were utilized during reporting period and enter that number on question 12H.

12I Sum total amount of money provided to informants during reporting period.

**4. Property Seizures/Forfeitures Tally Sheet (used to complete question 17 on MJTF quarterly progress report)**

As property is seized/forfeited during reporting period as a result of MJTF work activities, it should be added to the tally sheet. If more than one type of property is seized/forfeited in an investigation/case, they should be listed separately. If a piece of property is seized **and** forfeited during the same quarter, two separate entries should be made on the tally sheet based on date of activity.

**Quarter:** Enter beginning and ending month and year of quarterly reporting period.

**Date of Activity:** Enter month, day, and year that seizure/forfeiture took place.

**Case No.:** Enter MJTF-related investigation/case number.

**Type of Acquisition:** Indicate type of acquisition (seizure or forfeiture).

**Type of Forfeiture:** *Indicate type of forfeiture*

**Property Type:** Indicate type of property acquired.

**Quantity:** Indicate estimated quantity of acquisition.

**Estimated \$ Value:** Indicate estimated dollar value of acquisition.

*Instructions on how to use this tally sheet to complete the MJTF quarterly progress report.*

17A-17F Examine "Type of Acquisition" field and identify property seized. Sum quantity and estimated dollar values by property type.

17A-17F Examine "Type of acquisition" field and identify property forfeited. Sum quantity and estimated dollar values by property type.

17G If property type seized or forfeited does not fit into 17A-17F property type categories, list and describe property, quantity, and estimated dollar value.

**5. Work Productivity Tally Sheet (used to complete questions 11, 13, 14, and 15B on MJTF quarterly progress report)**

Enter data on all arrests, drug trafficking analysis, search warrants, consent searches, and methamphetamine drug labs destroyed as a result of MJTF work activities on this tally sheet. On this tally sheet you have the choice of entering activity by numbers (i.e., eight arrests would be entered using the value "8"), or by hash marks (i.e., eight arrests would be entered "IIII III"). At the end of the reporting period, sum numbers or hash marks and enter total number in the "Quarterly Total" block.

**Quarter:** Enter beginning and ending month and year of quarterly reporting period.

**11. No. of Persons Arrested:** Track number of persons arrested through MJTF operations.

*Note: Track persons arrested by MJTF and law enforcement charges made at time of arrest — **not** the prosecutor's or court's later charges or arrest results.*

A) **For Drug Offenses:** Track number of persons arrested for one or more **drug** offenses.

B) **For Other Offenses:** Track number of persons arrested for **other** types of offenses (i.e., no drug charges).

NOTE: Sum of subcategories A) and B) under 11. should equal number entered on the line for “Total No. of persons arrested” on MJTF Quarterly Progress Report.

- C) **Arrest Charges:** More than one charge may be associated with a given arrestee. List all charges associated with arrestees.
- 1) **Drug Paraphernalia/Possession** -Track all **drug paraphernalia/possession charges** by type of drug or paraphernalia.
  - 2) **Drug Sales/Manufacture** - Track all **drug sales/manufacturing charges** by type of drug.
  - 3) **Other Charges** - Track all **other** (non drug-related) *charges* by charge type.

13. **Drug Trafficking Organizations:** Enter number of new organizational and link analysis charts completed and number of new drug organizations discovered during reporting period.

- A) Track number of new organizational and link analysis charts completed by MJTF.
- B) Track number of new drug trafficking organizations identified through MJTF activities.

14. **Search Warrants:** Enter the following search-related activity resulting from MJTF operations:

- A) Track number of search warrants *applied for*.
- B) Track number of search warrants *authorized* for service.
- C) Track number of search warrants *actually served and in what county they were served*.
- D) Track number of search warrants served resulting in *drugs and/or paraphernalia seized*.
- E) Track number of *consent searches* (or “knock and talk” incidents) conducted.

17. B) **Number of Methamphetamine Drug Labs Destroyed:** Track number of methamphetamine labs discovered and destroyed through MJTF operations.

*Instructions on how to use this tally sheet to complete the MJTF quarterly progress report.*

- |               |  |
|---------------|--|
| 11A           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of persons arrested for <u>drug-related offenses</u> .                        |
| 11B           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of persons arrested for <u>non drug-related offenses</u> .                    |
|               | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of <u>persons arrested</u> .  |
| 11C1a - 11C1l | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of drug paraphernalia/possession charges by <u>drug type</u> .                |
| 11C2a - 11C2k | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of sales/manufacturing charges by <u>drug type</u> .                          |
| 11C3a - 11C3g | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of other (nondrug-related) charges by <u>charge type</u> .                    |
| 13A           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of Drug Trafficking <u>Organizational and Link Analysis Charts</u> completed. |
| 13B           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of Drug Trafficking <u>Organizations identified</u> .                         |
| 14A           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of search warrants <u>applied for</u> .                                       |
| 14B           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of search warrants <u>authorized</u> for use.                                 |
| 14C           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of search warrants <u>actually served</u> .                                   |
| 14D           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of search warrants served <u>resulting in drugs seized</u> .                  |
| 14E           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of <u>consent</u> searches conducted.   |
| 15B           | Enter “Quarterly Total” number of meth labs destroyed through MJTF operations.                               |

Multi-Jurisdictional Task Forces  
Case Log Tally Sheet  
(refers to question 10)

Quarter \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

mo yr mo yr

Disposed of Status in Quarter	Carried	Initiated in	Yes	No	Date of Disposal	(month, day, year) In	Quarter
Case No. Date Initiated (month, day, year)							
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				
1	2	1	2				

\* Use of this form is optional

Multi-Jurisdictional Task Forces  
Drug Acquisition Tally Sheet  
(refers to questions 12, 15a, and 16)

Quarter \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

mo yr mo yr

Date of Activity (month, day, year)	Case No. (if available)	Type of Acquisition			Seized	Eradi- cated	Other	Drug Type (If marijuana: wild,cultivated,or sinsemilla?)	Quantity	Measure	Est. \$ Value
		Drug Buy	Rev. Buy	Free Sample							
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				
		1	2	3	4	5	6				

\*Use of this form is optional

**CONFIDENTIAL**  
**Multi-Jurisdictional Task Forces**  
**Informant Expenditure Tally Sheet**  
(refers to questions 12h and 12i)

Quarter \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

mo      yr      mo      yr

<b>Date of Activity</b> (month,day,year)	<b>Case No.</b> (if available)	<b>Officer No.</b> (assigned by task force)	<b>Informant Name/Alias</b>	<b>Informant Number</b>	<b>Money provided</b>
---	-----------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------

Multi-Jurisdictional Task Forces  
Property Seizures/Forfeitures Tally Sheet  
(refers to question 17)

Quarter \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

		mo		yr		mo		yr			
Date of Activity (month, day, year)	Case No. (if available)	Type of Acquisition								Quantity	Estimated Value
		Seizure	Forfeiture	Property Type							
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								
		1	1								

**Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force  
Work Productivity Tally Sheet**

(Numbers and letters in parentheses refer to where data would be entered on the Quarterly Report)

	Quarter	_____ to _____	Quarterly
	mo.	yr.	mo. yr.
<b>(11.) No. of Persons Arrested</b>			
A) For DRUG offenses			
B) For OTHER offenses			
C) Arrest Charges:			
1) <b>Drug Paraphernalia/Possession -</b>			
a) Marijuana			
b) Cocaine			
c) Crack			
d) Methamphetamine			
e) Heroin/Opiates			
f) LSD			
g) PCP			
h) Paraphernalia			
i) Ecstasy			
j) Psuedoephedrine			
k) Anhydrous Ammonia			
l) Other Illicit Drugs			
2) <b>Drug Sales/Manufacture -</b>			
a) Marijuana			
b) Cocaine			
c) Crack			
d) Methamphetamine			
e) Heroin/Opiates			
f) Hallucinogens-LSD			
g) Hallucinogens-PCP			
h) Ecstasy			
i) Psuedoephedrine			
j) Anhydrous Ammonia			
k) Other Illicit Drugs			

**Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force  
Work Productivity Tally Sheet (Con.)**

(Numbers and letters in parentheses refer to where data would be entered on the Quarterly Report)

Quarter \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ Quarterly

mo. yr.      mo. yr.

**(13. C) Arrest Charges (con.):**

**3) Other Charges -**

- a) Resisting Arrest/  
Assault against Police
- b) Murder
- c) Assault
- d) Child Endangerment
- e) Kidnapping
- f) Weapons
- g) Other

**(13.) Drug Trafficking Organizations:**

- A) Number of new Organization  
and/or Link Analysis Charts  
completed
- B) Number of new Drug  
Trafficking Organizations identified

**(14.) Search Warrants:**

- A) Number Applied for
- B) Number Authorized
- C) Number Served
- D) No. Served with Drugs/ Par. Seized
- E) No. of Consent Searches Made

**(15. B) No. of Meth. Drug Labs**

**Destroyed:**

Rev. 6/01

## Prosecution and Court Programs

This program area provides financial assistance to implement and enhance the response of criminal justice agencies to criminal activity. Training of law enforcement, prosecution, judicial, and medical staff on handling / processing criminal cases as well as establishment of communication between involved criminal justice agencies leads to effective problem resolution.

**Washington County And City of Potosi Special Investigator Of Crimes Against Children Program:** This program continues support of a special investigator to collaborate with Washington County, City of Potosi, and Washington County 24th Judicial Circuit Juvenile Department to investigate crimes involving children. The goals of the program are: 1) Improve the criminal justice system's response to serious child abuse cases through collaborative agency efforts; and 2) Specialize and improve investigations and increase prosecution rates of child abuse offenders. The objectives of the program are: 1) Coordinate a multidisciplinary team investigating child abuse cases; and 2) Increase training of child abuse protocol to county criminal justice agencies.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and support services employed to implement the program.
- Hours expended by Special Investigator on child abuse and child involved domestic violence cases.
- Hours expended by team agencies on child abuse and child involved domestic violence cases.
- Number of serious sexual and physical child abuse cases investigated.
- Prosecution rate of serious sexual and physical child abuse cases.
- Conviction rate of serious sexual and physical child abuse cases.
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of the project.

The grantee is required to submit semi- and annual progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period. The annual status report should cover the total grant period and address all evaluation criteria items described above.

**St. Louis City Crime Community Strike Force:** This project continues to support a special unit with the St. Louis Circuit Attorney's Office to focus on suppression, law enforcement activities, and crime prevention techniques in areas with specific crime problems, known as "Hot Blocks". The goal of the project is to increase community safety and reduce criminal activity. This goal will be achieved by: 1) Effectively utilize Circuit Attorney's Office resources to make greatest impact on residents' safety; 2) Collaborate with St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department with response and prevention of crime in areas with specific crime problems; 3) Enhance prosecution and implement deterrence strategies; 4) Establish strong law enforcement presence in high crime rate areas; and 5) Provide community education and foster communication with residents.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project.
- Number of "Hot Block" areas identified in City of St. Louis and number of offenders prosecuted for crimes in these areas.
- Number of collaborative responses made by St. Louis Circuit Attorney's Office and St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department.
- Number of prosecution enhancement and deterrence strategies implemented.
- Number of law enforcement responses made to "Hot Block" neighborhoods.
- Pre and post program comparative crime rates for "Hot Block" areas.
- Number of community crime education activities performed.
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project.

The grantee is required to submit semi-annual and annual progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period. The annual status report should cover the total grant period and address all evaluation criteria items described above.

**St. Louis City Circuit Attorney's Office Domestic Violence Investigator:** This project continues support of a misdemeanor domestic violence investigator to work with the St. Louis Attorney's Office domestic violence attorney. The goal of this project is to increase community safety and reduce domestic violence in the City of St. Louis. This goal will be achieved by two objectives: 1) The focus will be on misdemeanor domestic violence incidents through cooperative efforts of the Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Investigator and the Circuit Attorney Office Violent Unit; 2) Effort will be focused on enhancing misdemeanor domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation for prosecution.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project.
- Number of domestic violence cases prosecuted by the St. Louis City Attorney's Prosecutors Office. At the end of the contract period, the rate of change in domestic violence cases prosecuted compared to a like period prior to the grant project.
- Number of domestic violence cases investigated and directly prosecuted by the domestic violence team.
- Number of non-domestic violence cases investigated and prosecuted by the domestic violence team.
- Number of domestic violence victims provided information of support services.
- Hours expended on domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation.
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project.

The grantee is required to submit semi-annual and annual progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period. The annual status report should cover the total grant period and address all evaluation criteria items described above.

## Prevention and Education Programs

This purpose area provides supplies and reference materials to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials to help them promote safety and educate officers and the public on issues that affect themselves and the environment.

**State Of Missouri - Department Of Natural Resources Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station:** This continuing project supports the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Emergency Response Section, Environmental Services Program to expand and enhance an existing project of responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean up requests. The goal of this project is to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal will be achieved by three objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; and 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and services employed to support the project.
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically to reduce methamphetamine laboratory related injuries of emergency responders.
- Number of injury and non-injury related laboratory incidents responded to.
- Amount and type of supplies purchased specifically for processing and disposal of clandestine drug laboratory materials from clandestine drug laboratory collection stations.
- Number of requests for on-site assistance to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials).
- Number of on-site responses to requests for assistance to clandestine. methamphetamine laboratory incidents, by type of requestor (law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials).
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of the project.

The grantee is required to submit semi-annual and annual progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period. The annual status report should cover the total grant period and address all evaluation criteria items described above.

## **Corrections and Community Corrections Programs**

No projects are being funding under this purpose area during the 2007 / 2008 funding period.

## **Drug Treatment Programs**

No projects are being funding under this purpose area during the 2007 / 2008 funding period.

## **Planning, Evaluation, And Technology Improvement Programs**

Local criminal justice agencies must be automated if their reporting to the State Central Repository is to be timely, accurate, and complete. When local agencies are automated and linked to the State Repository, they are able to search federal criminal files, state and federal wanted files, and other databases. Criminal justice databases are important tools when fighting crime and protecting citizens.

**MSHP Missouri Criminal History Improvement Program:** This continuing project is designed to enhance the capabilities of Missouri's Criminal History Records System (CHRS) and coordinate efficient reporting to CHRS by responsible criminal justice agencies. This program is part of the National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) who's goal is to assist states with improving criminal history record completeness, automation, and accuracy, and development of programs to support the National Instant Check System (NICS). The goal of the Missouri program is to improve reporting of criminal history to the criminal history repository. Program objectives are: 1) Maintain staffing levels required to support and enhance each agency's criminal reporting system; 2) Provide staffing levels to install each agency's respective reporting system at both local and state level offices; and 3) Provide required training to each agency mandated to report criminal history.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and support services employed to implement the program.
- Number of system enhancements and / or modifications made to CHRS interfaces, between criminal justice agencies, including MSHP, MOPS, OSCA, and MPCA.
- Number of user-group meetings and presentations made by MSHP personnel at prosecutor conferences.
- Number of maintenance service calls made by OSCA personnel to support MOCIS, ACMS, and JIS users and number of associated trips.
- Proportion of State court caseload managed by JIS as compared to historic proportions.
- Other major work efforts and activities performed under auspices of the project.

The grantee is required to submit semi-annual and annual progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period. The annual status report should cover the total grant period and address all evaluation criteria items described above.

**MSHP Administrative Data Analysis And Problem Identification Program:** This project involves establishing a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations. The State of Missouri will effectively manage the Justice Assistance Grant by analyzing drug and violent crime environment in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The Missouri State Highway Patrol, coordinating their activities with Department of Public Safety's State Administrative Agency program staff, will complete the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's Justice Assistance Grant by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services; 3) Enhance capabilities of Missouri's criminal justice information systems deemed mission critical in

supporting statewide illicit drug and violent crime problem analysis as well as for grant administration; and 4) Enhance Missouri's UCR data collection application and output report application.

EVALUATION DESIGN: The grantee will be evaluated on the following criteria:

- Overall project management, training, and support services employed to implement the project.
- Assistance provided in successful development and / or modification of Missouri's drug and violent crime strategy required under the Justice Assistance Grant including, but not limited to, conducting a statewide drug and violent crime problem analysis.
- Number of research services provided to DPS, Missouri criminal justice authorities, and other public officials.
- Assistance provided in development and implementation of evaluation criteria and information systems for programs supported under the Justice Assistance Grant. Publication of a report describing all approved research designs.
- Technical assistance provided in maintenance of UCR summary-based information system input, file maintenance, and output software.
- Technical assistance provided for UCR training and report requirements, quality assurance reviews / audits, and assistance to local agencies in reporting procedures.
- Number of CHRS training programs developed on CHRS fingerprint and case disposition processing.
- Quality control procedures and programs developed and employed to monitor CHRS fingerprint and case disposition reporting compliance.
- Number of seminars and conferences attended in support of the Justice Assistance Grant.
- Other major work effort and activities performed under auspices of this project.

The grantee is required to submit semi-annual and annual progress status reports on this project. Status reports should describe work completed and work in progress, as well as any impediments preventing the project from being successfully completed at the end of the contract period. The annual status report should cover the total grant period and address all evaluation criteria items described above.

## Crime Laboratory Projects

Although not funded from the Justice Assistance Grant, Missouri crime laboratories are included in this report because analysis of evidence is a key to the successful prosecution of drug offenders. In addition, data collected from crime laboratories can be an invaluable resource for analyzing Missouri's illicit drug problem. Several crime laboratories receive funding from Missouri Crime Lab Upgrade Program (MCLUP) and Crime Lab Assistance Program (CLAP) grants administered by the CJ / LE Program. These grants provide state-of-the-art equipment, supplies, and manpower to regional crime labs throughout the State to reduce backlogs and increase turnaround in the analysis of evidence. Grant funded crime laboratories are required to report project progress to the Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System. Other crime laboratories that do not receive direct funding from MCLUP or CLAP grants are still supported by state funds and are therefore required to report to the Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System. For this reason the Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System has been expanded so all Missouri crime laboratories report their activity regardless of whether or not they receive direct CJ / LE funding support. A listing of Missouri Crime Laboratories and their funding source follows. The anticipated achievements of crime laboratories receiving MCLUP or CLAP grant funding are then described in detail.

### MCLUP CRIME LABORATORY RECIPIENTS

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System.

**Independence Crime Laboratory Upgrade:** This project supports the purchase of equipment that will be used daily in the Independence Crime Laboratory. These items are identified as: six digital crime scene cameras and camera equipment, Honda generator, two GPS global positioning devices, digitizer surveillance video capture device, four pipettes, photographic light box with lights, six chairs, and one laptop computer.

The items mentioned above improved the Independence Missouri Crime Laboratory's ability to provide quality services to the citizens of the community and will be used for many years.

**Kansas City Crime Laboratory Upgrade:** This project supports improving technology with the purchase of Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) that identifies unknown substances. In addition, camera kits will be purchased to ensure that photography equipment is available to those that need it. Other photography equipment includes macro lenses to provide enhanced detail in close-up photography, and a crime scene digitizer that allows for a more mobile expeditious way of crime scene diagramming. With a better-equipped laboratory, criminalists can better serve the investigation process as a whole.

**St. Charles County Criminalistic Laboratory Upgrade:** This project is a crime laboratory upgrade program for the purchase of equipment and supplies to enhance the overall existing level of services provided by the St. Charles County Criminalistic Laboratory. These items are identified as: flammable storage refrigerator, photo printer with cartridges, four DNA amplification kits, two packages of DNA concentrators, four packages of pipet tips, and a DNA quantification kit.

**St. Louis Metropolitan Crime Laboratory Upgrade:** This project supports the purchase and upgrade of a biological instrument, acquisition of a new alternate light to search for trace evidence, and purchase of equipment to take photomicrographs in several areas of the lab. In addition, an upgrade of firearms unit with balance, gauges, and power supply will be purchased. Expand the Laboratory information Management System (LIMS) with additional licenses and scanners.

**St. Louis County Crime Laboratory Upgrade - Personnel Enhancement:** The Missouri Crime Laboratory Upgrade Program provides the St. Louis County Police Department the primary forensic services to over one million citizens of St. Louis County. The Laboratory provides support to the unincorporated areas serviced by the St. Louis County Police Department and the 91 incorporated municipalities supported by 60 municipal law enforcement agencies. Additionally, this Laboratory provides forensic support to any federal law enforcement agency that may be conducting criminal investigations in the eastern district of the State of Missouri. The Missouri Crime Laboratory Upgrade Program continues to afford the St. Louis County Police Department the opportunity to enhance personnel at the Police Crime Laboratory by funding the employment of a forensic scientist. This project allows the laboratory to handle an increasing volume of complex drug casework submissions for analysis and facilitates a reasonable turn-around-time of most casework. The goal of the project is to reduce the turnaround time from the current 85 days waiting period to less than 30 working days. The past year has seen a dramatic reduction in the pending turnaround time. It is worth noting that in February 2007 the pending turnaround time decreased to less than 20 days.

The forensic scientist funded through this program also provides this laboratory the ability to examine and analyze evidence submitted from arson investigations. Additionally, the laboratory purposes to purchase a new scientific instrument Aglient Gas Chromatograph /Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS) to greatly enhance the ability to adequately and efficiently provide scientific examination and analysis of submitted casework evidence from fire debris investigations.

**State Of Missouri Highway Patrol Crime Lab Upgrade:** This project supports evidence analysis by eight MSHP laboratories. These services are provided to all law enforcement agencies in all regions of the state. New analysis equipment which includes: liquid chromatograph / mass spectrometer will be purchased and consumables will be replaced with MCLUP funds.

**Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop B Satellite Laboratory**

**Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop C Satellite Laboratory**

**Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop D (Springfield) Satellite Laboratory**

**Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop D (Joplin) Satellite Laboratory**

**Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop E Satellite Laboratory**

**Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop G Satellite Laboratory**

**Missouri State Highway Patrol Troop H Satellite Laboratory**

EVALUATION DESIGN: Because the Missouri State Highway Patrol receives MCLUP funds, each of the Highway Patrol's satellite laboratories consequently are required to submit progress reports to the Crime Laboratory Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System. Data collected from each satellite laboratory can be an invaluable resource for analyzing Missouri's illicit drug problem.

**Truman State University Crime Lab Upgrade:** This project supports analysis of evidence by identification of controlled substances, metabolites of controlled substances, and other drugs as requested. In addition, the project allows the laboratory to offer these services: qualitative and quantitative measurement of ethyl alcohol in blood, beverage, and other biological samples; development of techniques; comparison and identification of people from fingerprints; examination of spent cartridges and projectiles in firearm related cases; and chemical identification of unburned or partially burned gunpowder in firearm cases. Depression and chemical examinations will be conducted by documents to provide useful information. Laboratory examination of tool marks, footwear, and the track of impressions compare suspect specimens. The laboratory also has the capability to examine fibers and hair samples by microscopic and infrared techniques, but only rarely receives this type of sample. This project also supports the purchase and installation of: ATR ACC for Nexus Spectrophotometer, lab chemicals / supplies, supplies for GC / MC, and supplies for fingerprint analysis.

#### **CLAP CRIME LABORATORY RECIPIENT**

EVALUATION DESIGN: This project is supported through the Crime Laboratory Quarterly Progress Report Automated Information System.

**Kansas City Crime Laboratory Assistance Program:** This project supports personnel enhancements, including salaries for two criminologists instrumental in DNA analysis of crime scene evidence and methodologies validation. The criminologists are tasked with maintaining quality assurance of all lab DNA analyses. Capital equipment purchases will address advancements in specialized photography and increase the workflow in the Crime Scene Section by mobilizing crime scene diagramming equipment.

## Instructions for completing:

### Missouri Department of Public Safety Crime Laboratory Quarterly Progress Report

This instruction sheet is to aid the Crime Laboratory grantees in completing the required quarterly progress report for the Department of Public Safety.

1. **Date Submitted** Self-explanatory
2. **Grant Number**
3. **Grant Name**
4. **Project Director** As designated in Crime Lab contract with Dept. of Public Safety
5. **Program Agency Name**
6. **ORI**
7. **Person Completing Form**
8. **Phone No.** Self-explanatory
9. **Quarterly Reporting Period**
10. Indicate the appropriate number of completed cases for the reporting period a), b), and c) The total number of these three subcategories should equal to the number placed in **10**. For example: If you have 35 completed cases for the period, you would put "35" in 10. Of those cases, 12 did not involve any tests for suspected illicit drugs (i.e. blood splatter analysis, ballistics test, latent print analysis, etc.), 6 were tested for suspected illicit drugs and none were found, and 17 were tested for suspected illicit drugs and some were detected. You would put "12" in 10a, "6" in 10b, and "17" in 10c. The sum of these is equal to 35, and should be entered in 10.
11. Self-explanatory
12. Of those completed cases in which one or more illicit drugs and/or precursors were identified through examinations, indicate the number of cases directly involving a clandestine laboratory where they were being produced. If more than one type of illicit drug was being produced, enter the case in all appropriate lab type subcategories. For instance, if a lab produced PCP and LSD, enter the case in both 12d and 12e. If other illicit drugs are found at the scene, but not produced by the clandestine laboratory, enter that activity in 13 under the appropriate drug type subcategory.
13. Of those completed cases in which one or more illicit drugs were identified through examinations, and did not involve clandestine laboratory production, list the cases by specific drug type. If more than one type of illicit drug was identified, enter the case in all appropriate drug type subcategories. For instance, if in a possession case, marijuana and methamphetamine were detected, enter the case in both 13a and 13d.
14. Refer to the total number of completed cases involving the examination for one or more illicit drugs (sum of cases listed in 10b and 10c). Compute and enter the average amount of time it took to process these cases based on the date the case was received to the date it was considered completed.
15. Indicate any new illicit drugs identified through examinations. List the name of the new drug, the number of cases where it was detected, and a description of the new drug. The description should include the classification the drug falls into, such as hallucinogen, inhalant, etc.
16. Indicate any resurgence of older type drugs identified through examinations. List the name of the older drug, the number of cases where it was detected, and a description of the older drug. The description should include the classification the drug falls into, such as hallucinogen, inhalant, etc.
17. Indicate any grant fund equipment acquisition activity in the reporting period. Acquisition activity is defined as ordering, receiving, or making the equipment operational. List the date this activity took place. Also list the dates of the prior activity associated with the equipment acquisition, even though it may have been reported in a prior quarter. For instance, the equipment became operational in this quarter. List the date it became operational, as well as the dates ordered and received, even though they happened in a different quarter.

18. Indicate any other activity or information not reported elsewhere in this form, which directly addresses any action and/or condition specified in your Crime Lab contract. In addition, include a description of any other activities, which will assist the Department of Public Safety to properly review and evaluate your program.
19. **Signature of Project Officer** Self-explanatory
20. **Date**

**NOTE: When completing this form, please make a copy for your records and return the original to:**

**Missouri Department of Public Safety  
Attn: Criminal Justice/Law Enforcement Program  
PO Box 749  
Jefferson City, MO 65102**

**If you have any questions on how to complete this form, contact Ms. Susan Kuebler at (573) 751-9000 ext. 2218.**

**Missouri Department of Public Safety  
Crime Laboratory  
Quarterly Progress Report**

1.	Date Submitted	mo	day	yr	2. Grant Number
3.	Grant Name				
4.	Project Director				
5.	Program Agency Name		6. ORI		
7.	Person Completing Form		8. Phone No.(    )		
9.	Quarterly Reporting Period				
		mo	yr	to	mo      yr
10.	<b>No. of cases in which all requested examinations were completed during reporting period</b>				
	a)	No. of cases where no tests for illicit drugs were requested			_____
	b)	No. of cases where illicit drug exams were requested/tested and none were identified			_____
	c)	No. of cases where illicit drug exams were requested/tested and one or more drugs were identified			_____
11.	<b>No. of active cases pending at the end of the reporting period</b>				
12.	<b>Identify the number of cases completed during the reporting period in which the following illicit drugs and/or precursors were detected while being produced in a Clandestine Laboratory operation</b>				
		<u>Lab Type</u>	<u>No. of Cases</u>		
	a)	Methamphetamine Final product only	_____		
	b)	Methamphetamine Precursors only	_____		
	c)	Methamphetamine Precursors and Final product	_____		
	d)	LSD	_____		
	e)	PCP	_____		
	f)	Other Clandestine Labs	_____		

13. Identify the number of cases completed during reporting period, that were not directly related to Clandestine Lab operation production, by types of illicit drugs

	<u>Drug Type</u>	<u>No. of Cases</u>
a)	Marijuana	_____
b)	Cocaine Powder	_____
c)	Crack	_____
d)	Methamphetamine	_____
e)	Heroin/Opiates	_____
f)	LSD	_____
g)	PCP	_____
h)	Other Illicit Drugs	_____

14. Of all cases completed during the reporting period where illicit drugs were suspected, what was the average processing time (in days)?

**NOTE:** Processing time is from the date case was received to date it was considered completed

15. Were any new illicit drugs identified in the cases completed during the reporting period?

No

Yes

If yes, please list

<u>Name</u>	<u>No. of cases</u>	<u>Description</u>
-------------	---------------------	--------------------

16. Did you notice any resurgence of older type drugs in the cases completed during the reporting period?

No

Yes

If yes, please list

<u>Name</u>	<u>No. of cases</u>	<u>Description</u>
-------------	---------------------	--------------------

**17. Equipment (Please list the types of laboratory equipment being acquired with grant funds during the reporting period)**

Equipment Name	Quantity	Date Ordered	Date Received	Date Operational
		mo day yr	mo day yr	mo day yr

**18. Describe all work activities or areas of interest/concern not reported in the sections above**

**19. Signature of Project Officer**

**20. Date**

### **III.FY 2007 Summary of Programs, Performance Measures, Evaluation Methods and Evaluation Results**

# **III. FY 2007 Summary of Programs, Performance Measures, Evaluation Methods, and Evaluation Results**

## **Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program**

**Fiscal Year 2007**

**Funding Cycle 2006 / 2007**

**Total Federal Funds Expended**

**\$6,583,668.24**

### **PURPOSE AREA: LAW ENFORCEMENT**

**Number of Sub-grants: 29**

**Number of Sites: 29**

**Federal Funds Awarded: \$5,627,035.91**

### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Illicit drugs cause major problems for law enforcement agencies in the State of Missouri. The use, sale, distribution, and transportation of illegal narcotics must be addressed.

Crime has continued to increase both in the State of Missouri, and nation as a whole, and can largely be attributed to the growing number of drug violations. Drug violations can act as a springboard to other crimes such as homicide, robberies, assaults, larcenies, burglaries, vandalism, and violence in public housing, and help to create a fear of crime in neighborhoods.

Because of the sparse population in the rural areas of the State, drug traffickers for clandestine laboratories where amphetamine/methamphetamine is manufactured often use these areas. Many of the rural areas are protected by local law enforcement agencies that have limited resources and are unable to provide 24 hour staffing to protect its citizens, much less operate specialized drug units without financial assistance. The hazardous material generated by the manufacture of methamphetamine and left behind by clandestine laboratory operators compounds this problem.

### **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

The overall drug and crime problem reveals an increasingly adverse effect upon our community and society in general. The Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Program is a significant tool in combating the plague of drug activity that is present in our society. Agencies join together and combine resources in a team approach to provide enforcement in their target areas. As a result of the Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force programs, communications are improved between law enforcement agencies. These lines of communication are essential in sharing information and thereby coordinating a combined effort to combat the drug and crime problem, as well as addressing the hazards associated with the residual effects of methamphetamine manufacturing.

### **GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)**

**Goal 1:** To organize a Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force.

**Objective 1:** Agencies participating in a Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Program funded under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program must be involved early in planning for the implementation of this program. Program needs, as well as problems that may be encountered should be discussed.

- PM: 1 - Cooperation of participating agencies is critical for an effective Multi-Jurisdictional Program. An agreement must be developed and signed by the department heads of the participating agencies pledging cooperative support.

**Objective 2:** Identify and arrest for successful prosecution individuals or groups involved in illicit drug trafficking.

- PM: 1 - Gather intelligence / information  
2 - Cultivate informants  
3 - Identify previously unknown drug organizations and develop investigations on those groups  
4 - Gather evidence for arrest and prosecution  
5 - Seize illegal assets derived from drug related investigations

**Objective 3:** Develop a cost-effective system for the safe disposal of hazardous materials generated as by-products of clandestine drug laboratories.

- PM: 1 - Develop a cross-discipline communication and cooperation model (task force, fire, EMS, environmental agencies, etc.)  
2 - Train task force members in the proper collection and disposal methods associated with clandestine laboratories

## **PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS**

All projects funded through this program must:

- Submit a copy of the Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force formal agreement
- Follow policies and guidelines for management of confidential expenditures
- Report annually arrest, types and amounts of drugs purchased and seizure statistics and anecdotal data by which to analyze the effectiveness of the task force
- All projects funded from this program will receive at least one (1) monitoring contacts
- Submit monthly reports of expenditures
- Submit quarterly progress reports
- Be required to submit evaluation data on CJ / LE quarterly report forms

## **EVALUATION METHODS**

Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force quarterly reports and the automated information system will be utilized for evaluation reporting.

## **EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)**

The following evaluation results were obtained from the quarterly reports submitted by all the Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces. During this reporting period there were 28 Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces funded under this purpose area.

### Organization of Multi-Jurisdiction Drug Task Forces

1. Organization and planning of each Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force is the responsibility of the primary governing body as outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
2. 305 law enforcement agencies were involved with Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces
3. 277 full time and 16 part time law enforcement officers were involved with Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces

### Arrest and prosecution of individuals / groups in drug trafficking

1. During this reporting period, 162 new drug organizations were identified
2. Total arrests during fiscal year 2007 were 7,427 with a total of 9,932 charges
3. The five most frequent drug charges were: 1) possession marijuana; 2) possession methamphetamine; 3) sale methamphetamine; 4) possession of paraphernalia; and 5) sale marijuana
4. 1,709 arrest charges for possession of marijuana
5. 1,274 arrest charges for possession of methamphetamine
6. 968 arrest charges for sale of methamphetamine
7. 888 arrest charges for possession of paraphernalia

8. 870 arrest charges were made for sale of marijuana
9. During the four quarters reported for fiscal year 2007, 1,047 search warrants were served. 936 search warrants resulted in arrests
10. The 29 Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces of Missouri located and destroyed a total of 906 methamphetamine clandestine laboratories.
11. The statewide street value of all drugs seized totaled \$35,903,821. This amount includes the seizures of 179,389 ounces of marijuana, 17,968 ounces of cocaine, 6,721 ounces of methamphetamine, 280 ounces of psuedoephedrine, 667 ounces of crack cocaine, and 739 ounces of heroin
12. A total of 10,222 doses of psuedoephedrine, 11,440 doses of ecstasy, and 2,205 gallons of anhydrous ammonia were seized by Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces
13. In addition to drug seizures, 1,003 weapons were seized with a reported value of \$402,515, and 102 vehicles were seized with a value of \$535,035
14. Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces reported a total of \$122,361 of informant expenditures utilized for 694 active informants
15. Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces prepared 71 new organizational charts from intelligence information they obtained
16. During this reporting period, a total of 8,490 new cases were filed, with 6,855 cases still active from the previous year. A total of 15,345 active court cases awaited trial in 2007 and 8,179 were disposed
17. Total property seized by all Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces combined was valued at \$5,386,337. This property included weapons, currency, real estate, motor vehicles, and personal property and other assets. A total of \$404,220 in property was forfeited to Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces

#### Disposal of clandestine drug lab by-products

1. Through the Missouri Interagency Clandestine Laboratory Task Force, Missouri has 20 Hazardous Material Collection stations in use and in close proximity of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force areas
2. Haz-Mat collection training and re-certification is continuously provided to officers of Missouri's Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces. Persons who have attended the required training and certification represent the Department of Natural Resources, Law Enforcement and Fire personnel
3. A total of 22 Clandestine Laboratory Response Trailers were distributed to Missouri's Multi-jurisdictional Drug Task Forces in response to the need for the safe collection and transporting of the waste associated with the production of methamphetamine. During this reporting period a total of 3,769 methamphetamine labs were seized and destroyed.

**Jackson County Drug Abatement Response Team (DART):** This project continued support to the DART, a multi-jurisdictional initiative to identify and shut down drug houses and street level narcotics operations in thirteen municipal jurisdictions in Jackson County. The goal of this program was to eliminate illegal drug activity in the Jackson County community by coordinating and utilizing several sources. Through these efforts, the quality of life in the target area was restored and protected. Suspected drug activity could be anonymously reported to DART team members who then communicated the information to law enforcement for investigation. DART also coordinated street level investigations, buy / bust and reverse sting operations, property fire and housing code inspections of suspected drug houses, and notification of drug activity and its consequences to property owners. Property owner seminars, community presentations, and citizen training given on recognition of drug activities were provided by DART team members.

**Report of Success:** DART was instrumental in 186 criminal cases filed against individuals for possessing precursor chemicals, solvents, or solutions with intent to manufacture methamphetamine. DART closed down 7 methamphetamine labs.

Owners were sent 325 notice letters that their property was being used for methamphetamine production and 59 evictions were completed. Another 14 evictions were pending. Following 175 fire and housing inspections made in the DART posted properties, 71 properties were vacated, with 2 additional waiting to be vacated. In the DART area, 17 potential nuisance cases were filed.

Over 1,300 residents had contact with the DART Coordinator, Methamphetamine Prosecutor, and Community Prosecutors. DART with other law enforcement agencies successfully served 326 search warrants and 842 arrests were made. Problem properties were identified and contacted. In addition, law enforcement made 164 buy/bust that resulted in 386 arrests.

This past year the DART, the Community Justice Unit and the Street Narcotics Unit experienced personnel changes. The DART Unit brought on a new investigator in the first quarter and a new DART coordinator in the third quarter. Four attorneys were new to the Community Justice Unit. These assignments were made to Metro Patrol, South Patrol, and East Patrol

wherein routinely DART inspections were conducted in each of these areas. Having new individuals in these varying capacities fostered both education and growth over the past year.

The DART team members recognized the importance of the service being provided to the community and how teamwork fostered their efforts to efficiently rid their neighborhoods of drug activity. When patrol officers share information with the DART team there is a broader view of the drug problems in the city.

The DART Unit worked together with the community prosecutors to provide a list of “hot spots” to SNU. Information was gathered from various community meetings as well as from discussions with patrol officers. The information was then provided to SNU. SNU detectives used this information as a springboard for new investigations or in some cases, as supplemental information for follow up investigations. Community members were consistently reminded that the information they provided was vital to fighting the crime in their neighborhoods. Growing intolerance to drug activity in their neighborhood is increasing by the community residents.

The DART coordinator made weekly community contacts and during these inspections, the Kansas City Police Department confirmed drug activity at some of these properties. Property owners are becoming familiar with these inspections and issues are discussed with those present at the property at the time of the inspection. Occasionally, property owners aware of the confirmed drug activity by their renters have met the DART team at the property in order to learn, cooperate, and help the community.

DART collected an abundant amount of data, which has been included in a database. These data of police reports and contacts has been an invaluable tool to help attorneys and various assistant prosecuting attorneys. These databases assist them in tracking witnesses and victims for various trials. The continued success of DART was greatly attributed to the wide range of resources at work that made up the DART Team. This success also highlights the importance of teamwork in abating drugs activity and will continue in the months ahead.

### **Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces**

The following is the list of Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Forces:

**Bootheel Drug Task Force**  
**Buchanan County Drug Strike Force**  
**Cameron Missouri NITRO Drug Task Force**  
**Clay County Drug Task Force**  
**Combined Ozarks Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Team (COMET)**  
**East Central Drug Task Force**  
**Franklin County Narcotics Enforcement Unit**  
**Jackson County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**  
**Jasper County Drug Task Force**  
**Jefferson County Municipal Enforcement Group**  
**Kansas City Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force**  
**Lafayette County Narcotics Unit Task Force**  
**Lake Area Narcotics Enforcement Group**  
**Mid-Missouri Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**  
**Mid-Missouri Unified Strike Team And Narcotics Unit (MUSTANG)**  
**Mineral Area Drug Task Force**  
**North County MEG Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**  
**North Missouri Drug Task Force**  
**Northeast Missouri Narcotics Task Force**  
**Platte County Multi-Jurisdictional Enforcement Group**  
**South Central Drug Task Force**  
**Southeast Missouri Drug Task Force**  
**Southeast Missouri Major Crimes Task Force**  
**Southwest Missouri Drug Task Force**  
**St. Charles County Regional Drug Task Force**  
**St. Louis City Metro Multi-Jurisdictional Undercover Drug Program**  
**St. Louis County Multi-Jurisdictional Drug Task Force**  
**West Central Missouri Drug Task Force**

## **PURPOSE AREA: PROSECUTION AND COURT**

**Number of Sub-grants: 3**

**Number of Sites: 3**

**Federal Funds Awarded: \$169,699.76**

### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated 57,000 children under the age of 15 years of age died by homicide in 2000. WHO has also estimated the homicide rates for children aged 0-4 years were over twice as high as rates among children aged 5-14 years, and that the most frequent cause of death was head injuries. The U.S. Department of Human Services reported the rate of child victimization decreased from 2001 to 2004, yet over 872,000 children were victimized during this time period. Of the children victimized, 64.5 percent experienced neglect (including medical neglect), 17.5 percent were physically abused, 9.7 percent were sexually abused, and 7.0 percent were emotionally or psychologically maltreated. In 2005, 54,108 reports of child abuse or neglect were received by the Missouri Department of Social Services, Children's Division. Compared to the number of child abuse / neglect reports received in 2004, the number received in 2005 was down 3.6%. In many cases, child abuse / neglect reports involve injury as indicated from information obtained from the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services that indicate that from 2003 through 2004 over 1,500 children under the age of 15 received injuries by abuse, neglect, or rape. While Missouri has been pro-active in awareness, treatment and prevention efforts, less funding has been available for the enforcement of child abuse and neglect laws.

Since 2001, an average of 38,478 incidents of domestic violence have occurred per year in Missouri. In 2006, a total of 39,916 domestic violence incidents were reported by Missouri law enforcement agencies. Of these incidents, the majority involved spouses (21.5%) and persons not married but residing together (24.3%). In 2006, a total of 44 homicides were reported in Missouri that were related to domestic violence. Of these, over 38.5% involved a female family member including wives, mothers, daughters, stepdaughters, or girl friends.

The consequences of domestic violence are far-reaching not only for families but for society as a whole. The U.S. Department of Justice has estimated that during their lifetime, one out of every four American women will experience violence by an intimate partner. Adults in abusive homes have a greater chance of developing alcohol, drugs, gambling, or relational problems. It has been suggested that children growing up in abusive households may develop problems with alcohol and drugs. These children also may become violators to their children when they become parents.

### **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

Programs were sought that addressed the response of the Missouri criminal justice system to domestic / family violence in the State. These programs included law enforcement, intervention, and prosecution for domestic violence issues as they interfaced with the criminal justice system, regardless of where in or external to the system the program is based. Emphasis was placed on programs for victims of child sexual abuse and crimes against the elderly. Prosecution and court programs will be sought that demonstrate new and different approaches to the enforcement, prosecution, and adjudication of violent crime offenses. By encouraging applicants to develop new strategies and methodologies for dealing with violent crime, domestic violence and child abuse crime problems, it is hoped that gaps and/or redundancy in coverage areas will be minimized or eliminated and the effectiveness of available resources will be maximized. The program will also encourage applicants to develop a strategic view that encompasses more than one aspect of the war on violence and addresses elements such as supervision, employment, community service, mental and medical treatment, and restitution.

### **GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)**

**Goal 1:** Improve effectiveness of criminal justice agencies response to violent crime through targeted enforcement, investigation, prevention, prosecution, and adjudication of specific criminal offenders.

**Objective 1:** Law enforcement agencies and prosecution offices collaboratively focus on high crime rate geographic areas.

PM: 1 - Identify high crime rate areas at local government level.

- 2 - Initiate, plan, and deploy criminal justice teams to enforce, prosecute, and share information regarding problem properties and offenders in identified high crime rate areas.
- 3 - Develop community involvement programs to inform and educate residents of high crime rate areas on crime prevention.
- 4 - Implement advocacy groups to encourage support for crime prevention, offender treatment, and witness programs.

**Goal 2:** Improving the criminal and juvenile justice system's response to domestic and family violence, including spouse abuse, child abuse, and abuse of the elderly

- Objective 1:** Increase the awareness and skill levels of professionals involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of domestic / family violence
- PM: 1 - Number of training sessions / seminars held.  
2 - Number of persons attending training.
- Objective 2:** Provide for additional trained, specialized investigators and prosecutors.
- PM: 1 – An increase in the number of trained domestic / family violence investigators.  
2 – An increase in the number of prosecutors dedicated to domestic / family violence cases.  
3 – An increase in the number of specialized units dealing with domestic / family violence
- Objective 3:** Enhance the investigative abilities of domestic / family violence investigators.
- PM: 1 – An increase in availability of evidence gathering equipment.  
2 – Increased availability of tools to assist in interviewing domestic/family violence victims  
3 – Availability of equipment for the presentation of evidence to prosecutors and courts.
- Objective 4:** Develop judicially accepted alternative domestic / family violence victim interview techniques.
- PM: 1 – Victim's exposure to repeated questioning by different investigators is minimized.  
2 – Investigators from different jurisdictions coordinate efforts.  
3 – Stronger court cases are realized.  
4 – Number of offenders that completed domestic / family violence education and/or treatment programs.

**Goal 3:** To develop and implement programs that enhance the response to crimes involving child abuse and neglect, including child sexual abuse.

- Objective 1:** Increase the awareness and skill levels of professionals involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of child abuse and neglect cases.
- PM: 1 – Number of training sessions/seminars held  
2 – Number of persons attending training.
- Objective 2:** Provide for additional trained, specialized investigators and prosecutors.
- PM: 1 – An increase in the number of trained child abuse/neglect investigators.  
2 – An increase in the number of prosecutors dedicated to child abuse and neglect cases.  
3 – An increase in the number of specialized units dealing with child abuse and neglect.
- Objective 3:** Enhance the investigative abilities of child abuse/neglect investigators.
- PM: 1 – An increase in availability of evidence gathering equipment.  
2 – Increased availability of tools to assist in interviewing child victims  
3 – Availability of equipment for the presentation of evidence to prosecutors and courts.
- Objective 4:** Develop judicially accepted alternative child victim interview techniques.

- PM:     1 – Victim’s exposure to repeated questioning by different investigators is minimized.  
          2 – Investigators from different jurisdictions coordinate efforts.  
          3 – Stronger court cases are realized.

## **PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS**

All projects funded through this program must:

- Maintain a time and activity sheet for personnel paid under the contract
- Report training attended by personnel
- Submit monthly reports of expenditures
- Submit semi-annual and annual project progress reports
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance
- Submit a copy of the formal agreements for inter-disciplinary investigation teams.
- All projects funded from this program will receive at least one (1) monitoring contact
- Submit all training plans and curriculums, community involvement program plans, and victim service awareness programs

## **EVALUATION METHODS**

Evaluations are based on annual and semi-annual reports submitted by grant recipients.

## **EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)**

The following evaluation results were obtained from annual reports submitted by all programs funded under this program area.

**St. Louis City Community Crime Strike Force:** This project supported a special unit with the St. Louis Circuit Attorney’s Office to focus suppression, law enforcement activities and crime prevention techniques in areas with specific crime problems, known as “hot blocks”. The goal of the project was to increase community safety and reduce criminal activity. This goal was achieved by: 1) Effectively utilize circuit attorney’s office resources to make greatest impact on residents’ safety; 2) Collaborate with St. Louis Metro Police Department (SLMPD) with response and prevention of crime in areas with specific crime problems; 3) Enhance prosecution and implement deterrence strategies; 4) Establish strong law enforcement presence in high crime rate areas; and 5) Provide community education and foster communication with residents.

**Report of Success:** A specialized unit of Assistant Circuit Attorneys and an Investigator were selected to be part of the Community Crime Strike Force (CCS), with a mission to address crime in designated “hot blocks,” and to address trends in specific crimes within those “hot blocks.” Using the proven principles of community policing and community prosecution, the CCS initiated intensive suppression, law enforcement activities, and prevention techniques in a “hot block” - community prosecution model to decrease crime in the City of St. Louis.

Identification was made of the new thirteen Hot Block after police representatives in the South and Central Patrol called attention to an increase in arrest rates in particular areas and increased citizen reports of criminal activity. Analysis of issue rates in the Warrant Office confirmed an increasing number of cases and a need to focus prosecutorial efforts on these newly identified Hot Block areas.

Based on arrest and calls for service information from police representatives as well as case issuance rates, JeffVanderLou (JVL) showed an increased level of criminal activity in several areas. The CCS team elected to include four additional blocks within the JVL area that are now included within the JVL neighborhood as Hot Block areas.

Also based on police and case issue information these neighborhoods were recognized as having additional Hot Block areas: 1) The Greater Ville neighborhood evidence increased in two additional blocks in that area, 2) the entire neighborhood of Forest Park South East, 3) another block South Grand in the Tower Grove South area, 4) in the Dutchtown area there were three blocks that were added, 5) another two blocks in the Downtown West were recognized as exhibiting increased narcotics activity, burglaries, property damage and trespassing in an apartment complex and at or near a convenience store. All of these locations were added to the Hot Block areas with CCS focused prosecution efforts.

Between July 1 and December 31, 2006, the CCS team added eight new Hot Blocks areas, and between January 1 and June 30, 2007, the CCS team added five new Hot Blocks areas to its focused prosecution efforts for a total of 13 new Hot Blocks areas.

The Circuit Attorney's Office received a number of internal reports that were formulated to assist in analysis of the case information database. This database includes information about criminal charges and geographic locations that is invaluable to the CCS as the team decides where best to focus prosecutorial efforts. Some of these reports have been used to tabulate case issuance rates and trends in criminal activity.

Members of the Community Crime Strike Force (CCS) in an effort to remain knowledgeable about current trends in criminal activity within the City of St. Louis met regularly with police officers, detectives and ranking officers from each of the three Super stations in the Police Department. The following partial list represents the ongoing attempt by the CCS team to share information with the police about criminal activity and chronic offenders in the Hot Block areas. CCS attorneys met regularly with the Mayor and his team to discuss criminal activities and to share data on past and present investigations and prosecutions therein. CCS attorneys met with detectives and their teams to discuss recent increases in drug cases involving Khat that previously hadn't been seen much in St. Louis. Illegal Khat sales and use is becoming an increasing problem in the South Patrol area of the City. These attorneys also visited several of the districts in the South and North Patrol to discuss trends in arrests and criminal activity. CCS attorneys assisted the Police Academy in training recruits and police officers on formulation and execution of search warrants.

Not only did the CCS attorneys keep updated with the law enforcement in the Hot Block neighborhoods, but they also met and shared information with residents from those areas. CCS attorney's gathered feedback from residents of the Hot Block areas in the following fashion: Met with Southwest Garden Association, attended the Weed and Seed meeting in the Central West End neighborhood, spoke with Dutchtown neighborhoods along with Benton Park West, Carondelet, Tower Grove East, Fox Park and Bevo Mill residents. Shelter residents in the Downtown West area discussed a chronic offender within that particular neighborhood. Feedback from individual residents was obtained by regular contact between the CCS unit and residents as particular crimes, cases and offenders were discussed. In each of the Hot Blocks areas CCS attorneys made informal contact to discuss general and particular concerns about particular cases and offenders.

To determine the areas most in need of intervention, the Circuit Attorney's CCS relied heavily on numerical data for the number and type of cases in specific neighborhoods and streets located in the City of St. Louis. Additionally, the team conducted working meetings with the SLMPD Mobile Reserve, residents' actual needs, and non-numerical or non-quantifiable interests. The following 12 areas are the Hot Blocks that have been identified since 2004: 1) Central West End; 2) Downtown; 3) Downtown West; 4) Fairgrounds; 5) McRee; 6) Benton Park; 7) Benton Park West; 8) Dutchtown; 9) Gravois Park; 10) Tower Grove East; 11) Carr Square; and 12) O'Fallon Place Apartment Complex. Trends observed in more recent identified Hot Block areas include: Tower Grove S and Forest Park SE. An apartment building was closed due to a high volume of crime in the Downtown West neighborhood. The CCS met with officers that patrolled this area and discussed the chronic drug use and trespassing at this troublesome property. With the latest criminal activity in the Dutchtown neighborhood, the CCS and other officers planned to designate this neighborhood as a federal Weed and Seed area. With the heightened criminal activity at an apartment complex in the Carr Square neighborhood, CCS attorneys met with officers to discuss their chronic narcotic activity and trespassing. The CCS attorneys, representatives from the police department, attorney's from the U.S. Attorney's Office, and representatives from neighborhoods within the Weed and Seed program met to focus on the Hot Blocks needs. Informal contact occurred daily between the CCS attorney's and police department by telephone calls, warrant applications, and case preparation meetings. These groups also shared current information about problem properties, blocks, neighborhoods and offenders.

It was determined that the following crimes occurred more frequently in the Hot Blocks with the most frequent listed first: narcotics, weapons, robbery, and burglary.

The police department no longer maintains a list of problem properties. The CCS has shared information about particular addresses with interested police officers assigned to the neighborhoods where those addresses are located, but there is no taskforces in place at this time that would allow more formal communications.

The CCS reviewed cases that occurred within the Hot Blocks areas in the Warrant Office and prosecuted these cases. Procedures are in place within the Circuit Attorney's Office to identify Hot Blocks cases and assign them to the CCS team as early as possible. Case assignments were made early to better allow CCS attorneys to investigate the cases and advocate against pre-trial release of defendants who offend in the Hot Blocks prior to any probable cause hearing.

Since the end of June, 2006 the Circuit Attorney's Office carried a total of 234 cases that occurred in the Hot Block areas. The CCS team members attended 12 monthly neighborhood meetings during the grant period.

CCS attorneys advocated against pretrial release in all of their Hot Blocks cases, and were successful in approximately 75 of those cases. This was accomplished by the assistance of the residents and the police. They will continue to advocate against pretrial release in every case. CCS attorneys sought Neighborhood Orders of Protection in all cases where the defendant requested pretrial release or sentenced to probation. Information about the orders was forwarded to the police department immediately.

The CCS attorneys advocated for the Gang Community Partnership for Restoration (Gang-CPR) program when certain offenders received probation. The program was targeted at youthful, documented gang members from Hot Block and other problem areas, and was designed to change attitudes and behaviors in the offender that led to problems for his or her neighborhood in the past. The offender was required to comply with such probationary terms as enhanced job and education requirements, reporting to a probation officer daily, and refraining from being in the neighborhood or causing any kind of trouble in the neighborhood.

The CCS attorneys also advocated for female offenders who received probation to enroll at the Center for Women in Transition. For women who have committed non-violent crimes this center assisted them in obtaining education, employment, drug treatment, family support and other services critical to transforming offenders into contributing members of their communities.

CCS attorneys enhanced their legal and advocacy skills by rotation into the warrant office. The attorney's concentrated on cases that arose within the Hot Block neighborhoods. They interviewed witnesses, reviewed evidence, and issued or refused cases arising elsewhere so that they could sharpen their prosecutorial skills and develop further their knowledge of the law and case preparation tactics.

The CCS attorney's attended eight in-house trial skill training sessions: The Art of Persuasion and Advocacy, Interactive Trial Objections Workshop, Prosecutors and the Media; Ethical and Practical Issues, Evidence Based Prosecutions', Anticipating Defense Strategies, Identification Procedures and Line Ups, Victim's Rights and Victim Assistance in Prosecution, and Extradition, detainee and speedy Trial Issues.

#### **St. Louis City Circuit Attorney's Office Domestic Violence Investigator**

This project continued support of a misdemeanor domestic violence investigator to work with the St. Louis Attorney's Office domestic violence attorney. The goal of this project is to increase community safety and reduce domestic violence in the City of St. Louis. This goal would be achieved by two objectives: 1) The focus will be on misdemeanor domestic violence incidents through cooperative efforts of the Misdemeanor Domestic Violence (DV) Investigator and the Circuit Attorney Office Violent Unit; 2) Effort will be focused on enhancing misdemeanor domestic violence investigation, evidence collection, and trial preparation for prosecution.

**Report of Success:** The Misdemeanor Domestic Violence Investigator worked 448 cases; of that total, 252 cases were resolved by plea or trial during the grant period. The Misdemeanor DV unit issued 308 new cases. Of that total, the Misdemeanor DV Attorney was assigned 78 of those cases and provided oversight for the remainder as they appeared for plea/trial. A total of 127 cases were assigned as pending bench or jury trial that required investigative support. The investigator acting as a liaison between the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department and the Circuit Attorney's Office interviewed 15 police officers in preparation for trial on misdemeanor domestic violence cases. The investigator analyzed and reviewed 71 police reports for identifying evidentiary needs. In addition, the investigator requested follow-up police reports in 71 cases, lab tests in 5 cases, and investigations, including photographs in 8 cases, crime scene review, interviewing witnesses, obtained medical releases in 20 cases and collected additional evidence in 98 cases.

Potential witnesses were located, subpoena, and interviewed for the 332 pending misdemeanor domestic violence cases. The investigator served subpoenas for witnesses and victims in approximately seven to 12 bench trials and three to four jury trials per week. The Misdemeanor DV Attorney currently has 127 cases pending trial dates. The Misdemeanor DV Investigator provided personally served subpoenas to 218 victims and interviewed 190 victims. Only 30 cases resulted in Dismissed for Failure to Prosecute. An agreement is in place with the judges of the misdemeanor divisions, that cases will be Nolle Prossed by the Circuit Attorney's Office rather than be dismissed.

The Misdemeanor DV Attorney prepared 400 cases during the grant period. Trial preparation assistance was provided for 448 cases. During the grant period, 114 cases were disposed involving 232 criminal charges. The DV Investigator obtained record

checks in 7 cases. Investigating defendant's backgrounds and criminal histories were completed by the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department and presented at the time of the warrant application.

Team meetings between the attorney, advocate, and investigator were held weekly with contact information communicated by email. All victims contacted in person were referred directly to the Victim Services Unit advocate, and the advocate was involved in interviews/meetings initiated by the attorney with victims. The advocate provided the brochure and additional referrals. The investigator provided brochures in those instances that victims were met outside of the courts. The Misdemeanor DV Attorney disposed of 237 cases by guilty plea, completed 8 bench trials, and 6 jury trials during the Performance Report time period. There were 30 cases dismissed as failure to prosecute and 178 were nolle-prossed by the attorney. The assistance provided to the attorney during this time was the following: 1) The investigator worked 448 cases during the grant period with peak caseload assistance during the months of March through May with 50 plus cases each month; 2) The investigator obtained 71 police reports; 3) The investigator obtained photos of injuries in 8 cases; 4) The investigator obtained certified copies of the order of protection in 15 cases; 5) The investigator collected other necessary evidence in 98 cases; 6) The investigator obtained statements from victims in 190 cases; 7) The investigator provided service on subpoenas to appear in court in 218 cases; 8) The investigator transported the victim to court in 15 cases.

The Misdemeanor Attorney provided a list of 10 to 15 cases tentatively assigned for bench and jury trial approximately two weeks prior to the hearing date. The Misdemeanor investigator reviewed the case file for specific needs and committed a significant amount of time to locating the victim in order to provide personal service subpoena for the trial.

This project provided a significant contribution to the prosecution of domestic violence cases at the earliest stages to the criminal justice system. With the increase caseload accomplishments, this project has demonstrated success.

**Washington and Ste. Genevieve County Special Investigator Program:** This program continued support of a special investigator to collaborate with the Washington County Prosecutor, Washington County Sheriff's Office, Ste. Genevieve Sheriff's Office, and East Central Missouri Children's Advocacy Center to investigate crimes involving children in these two counties. The goals of the program were: 1) Improve the criminal justice systems response to serious child abuse cases and domestic violence incidents through collaborative agency efforts; and 2) Increase prosecution rates of child abuse and domestic violence offenders. The objectives of the program were: 1) Coordinate a multidisciplinary team investigating child abuse cases; 2) Increase training of child abuse protocol to county criminal justice agencies.

**Report of Success:** The Special Investigator for Child Abuse/Neglect (SICAN) program began July 2003 and marked its thirty-sixth month of operation with good results. The collaboration of the various agencies, teamwork protocol and hard work of the SICAN officer was a vital part for the counties of Washington and Ste. Genevieve.

The following are totals from investigations of a collaborated effort between numerous regional law enforcement and children's agencies: Officers investigated 87 cases (97% in Washington County 3% in Ste. Genevieve County); These cases had 111 victims (66 females and 45 males) and 97 suspects / perpetrators (34 female and 63 male); Of these 99 cases, 14 cases involved multiple victims and 10 cases involved multiple suspects / perpetrators; The average age of victims was 8 years old and the average age of suspect / perpetrators was 28 years old; Of the investigated cases, 69% were sexual related crimes including child abuse or child fatalities with sexual related crimes; and the alleged abuser / perpetrator were family-related in 74.5% of the cases. Also, there were 11 cases that were referred to other agencies in other jurisdictions and juvenile cases. Nineteen charged cases were still awaiting judicial process and six cases were under advisement. There were 11 non-prosecutable refused cases, along with two cases that had uncooperative victims (victim and adult-who refused follow up help).

Of the cases investigated, charges were filed in 32 cases. At the time of this report, nine remained open and were still under investigation. The remainder of the cases were unfounded or closed. Another 29 were unsubstantiated and found to be false allegations or no disclosures, and 14 cases that were referred to other jurisdictions and juvenile cases.

The Special Investigator worked a total of 2,121.5 hours, at an average of 45 hours per week.

## **PURPOSE AREA: PREVENTION AND EDUCATION**

**Number of Sub-grants: 1**

**Number of Sites: 1**

**Federal Funds Awarded: \$384,284.95**

## PROBLEM STATEMENT

Clandestine production of methamphetamine is very dangerous because of the volatile, hazardous and toxic chemicals and processes involved. It not only poses risks to those involved in this illegal drug industry but also to law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials who discover, collect evidence, and dispose of clandestine laboratory sites. In addition, environment and public exposed to these are negatively affected. The instability of chemicals used in methamphetamine can cause fires and explosions during its production as well during cleanup of dumpsites. Outdoor clandestine laboratories are typically located in rivers, fields, and forests causing extensive damage to the environment. Because of methamphetamine production doesn't require elaborate equipment, indoor clandestine laboratories are commonly found in private residences, hotels / motels, garages, and abandoned buildings. This can expose family members as well as unassociated public to toxic chemicals.

Evolving chemical processes to produce methamphetamine has possibly increased the hazards to all coming in contact with them. According to the National Drug and Intelligence Center (NDIC), five common production methods are used to make methamphetamine. Four these involve chemical reduction ephedrine / psuedoephedrine but use different precursor chemicals. Common precursor chemicals include, but not limited to, hydriodic acid, red phosphorous, hypo-phosphorous acid, anhydrous ammonia, and mercuric acid. All of these are toxic and afford many health risks to those in contact.

In 2006 (fiscal year), multi-jurisdictional drug task forces destroyed 1,150 clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. Almost one half of these (46.4%) were in the St. Louis area. A full third (33.6%) destroyed in the rural portions of Missouri. Although the number of seized clandestine laboratories suggests this illicit drug industry has decreased in recent years, it continues to be very problematic in Missouri.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Programs were sought that addressed the response of public safety and emergency service officials to clandestine methamphetamine laboratories. Applications were encouraged that addressed crime scene processing, site cleanup, and disposal of chemicals and equipment associated with these labs. Provision of supplies, training, and reference materials was available to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials to effectively equip them to safely process methamphetamine laboratories and construct collection stations for chemical disposal.

## GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES, AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

**Goal 1:** Improve effectiveness of public safety and emergency service officials' response to processing of clandestine methamphetamine laboratories.

**Objective 1:** Law enforcement, fire service, state agencies collaboratively and appropriately respond to removal of methamphetamine laboratories.

**PM:**

- 1 - Develop communication plans and jurisdictional procedures to address methods for responding to service calls involving methamphetamine laboratories.
- 2 - Acquire proper supplies and equipment to effectively and safely clean methamphetamine laboratories.
- 3 - Establish and maintain hazardous material collection/control sites within a reasonable distance of each task force's area of operations

**Objective 2:** Ensure first responders are adequately trained to initially process, collect criminal evidence, and quarantine discovered methamphetamine laboratories.

**PM:**

- 1 - Develop and provide training materials to law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency service personnel.
- 2 - Identify providers in service area
- 3 - Attendance of personnel in training

## PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Maintain a time and activity sheet for personnel paid under the contract
- Report training attended by staff
- Submit monthly reports of expenditures
- Submit annual and semi-annual project progress reports
- Be monitored at least one (1) time to ensure compliance with guidelines
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance

## EVALUATION METHODS

Evaluation reports were based on semi-annual and annual reports submitted by the sub-recipient.

## EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)

**State of Missouri - Department of Natural Resources Clandestine Drug Laboratory Collection Station:** This continuing project supported the Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Emergency Response Section, Environmental Services Program to expand and enhance an existing project of responding to methamphetamine clandestine laboratory clean up requests. The goal of this project is to increase safety and reduce risk of injury to the staff, the public, and the environment exposed to clandestine laboratories. This goal was achieved by three objectives: 1) Provide proper supplies and reference material to Missouri law enforcement, fire service, and other emergency response officials; 2) Provide supplies for processing and disposal of clandestine drug lab materials to clandestine drug laboratory collection stations; and 3) Provide on-site responses to clandestine methamphetamine laboratory incidents, when requested by law enforcement, fire station, and other emergency officials.

**Report of Success:** The Missouri Department of Natural Resources' Environmental Emergency Response (EER) was afforded the purchases of proper supplies and reference materials to Missouri law enforcement, fire service and other emergency response officials to help them safely responds to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents and perform their jobs with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public and the environment, supplies for and process and dispose of clandestine drug lab materials from the clandestine drug laboratory collection stations (CDLCSs), and on-site response to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents, if assistance is requested by law enforcement.

Part of the funding from this grant was used to purchase personal protective equipment and supplies for safety; cleanup and air monitoring to help law enforcement and other responders safely and properly manage seized clandestine drug lab materials. The department provided items such as chemical protective coveralls, sample bottles and vials, buckets and lids, air purifying respirators, respirator cartridges, nitrile gloves, trash bags, disposable pipettes, Drager detection tubes and kits, overpack drums, and various calibration gases to law enforcement agencies. By providing these supplies, the department helped ensure that these agencies could respond to clandestine methamphetamine lab incidents with reduced risk of injury to themselves, the public and the environment.

The department has established twenty (20) CDLCSs throughout the State. The department provides supplies, operational oversight and assistance to the collection stations. The department also provided assistance to the CDLCS personnel and helped with the processing of waste materials accepted at the collection stations, ensuring the completing of all required documentation.

A tracking system was used by the department to account for drug lab materials accepted at the collection stations. The following were processed: reused/recycled material, solid waste, hazardous waste, and hazardous substances.

The EER has staff available to respond on-site to clandestine drug labs to assist law enforcement, drug task forces, fire department, and other agencies within the State of Missouri with the proper management, cleanup and disposal of clandestine drug lab chemicals. There were two requests from law enforcement for on-site assistance. From January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007, the department didn't receive any request from law enforcement for on-site assistance.

## PURPOSE AREA: CORRECTIONS AND COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

**Number of Sub-grants: 0**

**Number of Sites: 0**

**Federal Funds Awarded: \$0.00**

## PROBLEM STATEMENT

The increase in enforcement and prosecution programs has resulted in an increased filing of domestic violence and child abuse charges throughout the state court system. These cases processed through standard channels must compete with violent felonies for the court's attention. It is not an unusual occurrence for different components of criminal justice processing these cases to have some degree of "tunnel vision". This focus on one aspect of the problem can result either in gaps in initiatives from the law enforcement, judicial, correctional, and medical components, or in initiatives overlapping. This has the potential effect of diluting resource allocation and overall performance outcomes.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Court delay reduction programs are designed to improve the case flow management of the public defender system, which will aid in balancing all components of the criminal justice system in Missouri. Defense based alternative sentencing programs are designed to offer courts an option between prison and probation by developing individual sentencing plans for drug offenders. Special drug courts are designed to relieve crowded felony dockets, reduce case processing time and establish mechanisms for more creative and effective dispositions. In some cases, special drug courts link defendants to community-based drug treatment programs in an effort to reduce drug use and drug-related crime. By increasing the use of sentencing alternatives other than incarceration for certain drug defendants; these special drug courts can result in substantial system cost savings.

## GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)

**Goal 1:** Reduce recidivism rate for first time non-violent offender.

**Objective 1:** Cooperation and coordination between law enforcement, the judge, prosecutor and public defender to coordinate and maintain support for a program and to develop goals, procedures, and guidelines on court delay reduction program.

**PM:**

- 1 - Provide a "needs assessment" of the local court system.
- 2 - A policy and procedure manual for the court delay reduction program will be developed.
- 3 - Ongoing communication among the judge, prosecutor, and public defender to identify and resolve problems as they arise.
- 4 - Written agreement to abide by the procedural rules of the court and interagency cooperation.

**Objective 2:** Link defendants to community based alternatives or drug treatment.

**PM:**

- 1 - Community meetings will be held to discuss the resources and options as early as possible in the implementation process to help maximize understanding and support of the goals of the court delay reduction program.

**Objective 3:** To reduce the time to disposition, without compromising due process or public safety considerations.

**PM:**

- 1 - Channel all eligible drug cases into the system as early in the adjudication process as feasible.
- 2 - Implement a system of full and early discovery.
- 3 - Expedite production of laboratory reports and distribute results to the prosecutor and defense as soon after arrest as possible.
- 4 - Develop written procedures for assigning and maintaining cases. There should be specific procedures for responding to violations of court orders or treatment program rules and/or failed drug screenings and there should be rewards for achievements.
- 5 - The development of processing procedures that outline plea bargaining guidelines.

## PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS

All projects funded through this program must:

- Provide assessment instrument
- Provide reports to include recidivism rates of those completing program
- Provide reports including employment rates of those completing program
- Provide semi-annual and annual project progress reports

- Be site monitored to ensure compliance with guidelines
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance

## **EVALUATION METHODS**

Evaluation methodology utilizes semi-annual and annual reports submitted by the sub-recipient.

## **EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)**

No programs funded under this purpose area during fiscal year 2007.

## **PURPOSE AREA: DRUG TREATMENT**

**Number of Sub-grants: 0**

**Number of Sites: 0**

**Federal Funds Awarded: \$0.00**

## **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

There is ample documentation of the connection between substance abuse and crime. The impact substance-abusing offenders have on society, the criminal justice system, and them selves are significant. Most notably, the drug-involved offender typically commits many more crimes than the non-involved offenders. They are likely to commit hundreds of crimes including robberies and burglaries each year. We know that large numbers of criminal offenders are active abusers of illicit drugs and alcohol and that a relatively small number of drug involved offenders are responsible for a grossly disproportionate amount of crime. The need to focus on the development of effective strategies for addressing drug and alcohol abuse among juvenile and adult offenders is evident. The growing understanding of the relationship of substance abuse and crime has supported the need for comprehensive and coordinated substance abuse services at all points of the criminal justice system.

## **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

A variety of effective programs, such as substance abuse counselors, drug treatment and intervention, and intensive supervision of juveniles have been implemented throughout the state. This is a comprehensive focus on substance abuse services at all levels and includes the following key components: Appropriate assessment and intervention, substance abuse education, a range of treatment modalities to meet offender need levels, after-care services, an emphasis on continuity of care, and an on-going concern for quality assurances. The primary focus of the Intensive Supervision Probation/Parole program will be to provide additional public corrections resources and improve the corrections systems.

## **GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)**

**Goal 1:** To address defendant's needs through effective case management, reduce drug use and recidivism, relieve pressures on non-drug caseloads and concentrate drug case expertise in one courtroom.

**Objective 1:** Court officials are provided training in alternative sentencing and drug court procedures.

PM: 1 - Attendance of personnel at training

**Objective 2:** Implementation of alternative sentencing and drug court procedures.

PM: 1 - Develop standard operating procedures for participant eligibility  
2 - Develop methodology for participant tracking

**Objective 3:** Provide offender based education; job and life skills training that will help them become productive and drug-free citizens.

PM: 1 - Identify providers in service area  
2 - Develop working relationship and implement memorandum of understanding with appropriate service providers  
3 - Assemble baseline data on participants to allow for quantifiable success measurement

**Goal 2:** To develop, implement and provide prioritized substance abuse treatment services to include assessment education, treatment, interventions, modalities, after care, and support groups.

**Objective 1:** A research design component and implementation plan is necessary to provide an assessment of the problems and steps to be taken to address these problems.

PM: 1 - Provide steps taken to assess problems and develop implementation plan

**Objective 2:** To develop, as determined appropriate, treatment and intervention plans, drug education services, and self-help groups.

PM: 1 - A copy of the policies and procedures will be provided  
2 - Specialists will be hired to support treatment, education and group therapy programs  
3 - Specialized training will be provided to support treatment, education, aftercare and group therapy programs

## **PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS**

All projects funded through this program must:

- Provide a need assessment
- Provide assessment instrument
- Provide a detailed action plan for the proposed program
- Provide reports to include recidivism rates of those completing program
- Provide reports including employment rates of those completing program
- Provide semi-annual and annual project progress reports
- Be site monitored to ensure compliance with guidelines
- Be required to submit evaluation data for measuring performance

## **EVALUATION METHODS**

Evaluation methodology utilizes semi-annual and annual reports submitted by the sub-recipient.

## **EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)**

No programs funded under this purpose area during fiscal year 2007.

## **PURPOSE AREA: PLANNING, EVALUATION, AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENT**

**Number of Sub-grants: 2**

**Number of Sites: 2**

**Federal Funds Awarded: \$438,647.62**

### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

In today's society, criminal history records are becoming increasingly relied upon by the criminal justice system to make charge, release, and sentencing decisions. Records are also used as a tool when making decisions regarding licensing and employment purposes, including foster care, schoolteachers and bus drivers, hospital, nursing home, and home health care employees, and in transactions relating to the purchase of firearms. Local criminal justice agencies are required to report criminal history to the Missouri State Highway Patrol's Central Criminal History Records System (CHRS) repository. The paper system of reporting is quickly becoming obsolete and does not allow timely, accurate, and complete criminal histories. Local criminal justice agencies are unable to report in an adequate manner when they have to stretch their budgets and personnel to the limits just to get their core duties accomplished. In order to achieve complete, accurate, and timely criminal history records, cooperative efforts of all the components of the criminal justice system must be implemented.

Illicit drugs impact on society is manifested in many ways. The criminal justice system is negatively impacted by the resources and efforts expended to control both illicit drug use and industries. These drugs also negatively affect the health of Missouri citizens, economic infrastructure, social fabric, and environment. No single data source or information system can directly measure illicit drugs adverse impact on these. The nature, extent, and temporal and geographic trends can only be assessed through analysis of many disparate data systems such as those of criminal laboratories, law enforcement, juvenile and adult courts, hospitals, treatment centers, and corrections.

### **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

Given that each component of the criminal justice system is responsible to a different authority (such as the circuit courts to the Office of State Courts Administrator, prosecutors and sheriffs to their constituencies and police to the mayor or city manager), no one agency can effectively support all elements of the criminal history system. This program is designed around a support structure to address each component. Through cooperative efforts, law enforcement, prosecutors, and courts will provide an integrated solution to improve the completeness, accuracy and timeliness of Missouri's criminal history records. The local criminal justice agencies will be provided with equipment, software and training for the automation and integration of systems for the improvement of the criminal history reporting capabilities. The implementation of law enforcement case management, prosecutor case management and courts case management systems will provide statewide access for users. Once local agencies are automated and linked to the state criminal record repository, the federal criminal files, state and federal wanted files and other databases become a substantial tool in fighting crime and protecting our citizens. A totally automated system is being developed where each agency with reporting responsibilities interacts directly with the criminal history system to provide the required information for the record event under their jurisdiction. The CHRS repository would then be responsible for coordinating this effort and controlling the quality and dissemination of the records. They would also be available to assist any element of the system that encounter problems and be responsible for training on an as needed basis.

The purpose of the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program is to assist states and units of local government in implementing specific programs that offer a high probability of enhancing and improving the efficiency and effectiveness of the criminal justice system. Special emphasis is placed on controlling violent and drug-related crime and serious offenders, and fostering multi-jurisdictional and multi-state efforts to support national drug-control priorities. This is achieved through analysis of the illicit drug problem in Missouri and maintaining several criminal justice data systems.

### **GOALS, OBJECTIVES, ACTIVITIES & PERFORMANCE MEASURES (PM)**

**Goal 1:** Promote the timely collection of criminal history record information from all criminal justice agencies in Missouri and store these records at Missouri CHRS repository.

**Objective 1:** Develop a comprehensive information and training program to assist agencies in complying with mandatory criminal history records reporting requirements.

- PM: 1 - Representatives from the courts, law enforcement and prosecution will meet monthly to develop an automation plan.  
2 - Training plans and curriculums of developed training programs.  
3 - Number of sites where training and assistance is provided.

**Objective 2:** Provide equipment and software systems for automating criminal justice agencies.

- PM: 1 - Counties throughout the state will be scheduled for implementation of systems.  
2 - Teams will install hardware and software and train criminal justice personnel based upon the implementation schedule.  
3 - Number of counties automated.

**Goal 2:** Establish a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations to enable the State of Missouri to effectively manage the JAG Program by analyzing drug and violent crime environment in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs.

**Objective 1:** Ensure administration requirements of Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant are adequately met.

- PM: 1 - Develop a drug and violent crime strategy as required under the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant.  
2 - Provide research services to Missouri DPS, criminal justice authorities, and other public officials.  
3 - Develop and publish evaluation criteria and information systems for funded programs  
4 - Provide statistical assistance in maintenance of UCR summary based information system

**Goal 3:** Provide training and support to criminal justice agencies with Missouri required crime reporting, including the Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) System and Criminal History Records System (CHRS).

**Objective 1:** Operate and maintain statewide UCR System

- PM: 1 - Train Missouri law enforcement agencies with reporting requirements  
2 - Conduct quality assurance reviews and audits  
3 - Assist Missouri law enforcement agencies with reporting procedures

**Objective 2:** Support CHRS fingerprint and case disposition requirements

- PM: 1 - Train Missouri law enforcement, prosecutor, and court agencies on correct record processing and procedures.  
2 - Conduct seminars and attend conferences to support JAG  
3 - Employ CHRS quality control procedures and programs to monitor CHRS fingerprint and case disposition reporting compliance

## **PROGRAM ACTIVITIES/COMPONENTS**

All projects funded through this program must:

- Identify the various criminal justice agencies providing input to the CHRS
- Provide a list of counties that are automated
- Provide a list of counties where training and assistance is provided
- Provide bid specifications on equipment
- Provide reports showing increase of criminal records being reported
- Submit monthly report of expenditures
- Conduct required crime and drug analyses and publish reports
- Submit semi-annual and annual progress reports
- Be monitored at least one (1) time during the contract period

## **EVALUATION METHODS**

Evaluations are based on semi-annual and annual reports submitted by sub-recipients.

## **EVALUATION RESULTS (ER)**

**Missouri Criminal History Improvement Program:** This continuing project was designed to enhance the capabilities of Missouri's Criminal History Records System (CHRS) and coordinate efficient reporting to CHRS by responsible criminal justice agencies. This program is part of the National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP) who's goal is to assist states with improving criminal history record completeness, automation, and accuracy, and development of programs to support the National Instant Check System (NICS). The goal of the Missouri program was to improve reporting of criminal history to the criminal history repository. Program objectives were: 1) Maintain staffing levels required to support and enhance each agency's criminal reporting system; 2) Provide staffing levels to install each agency's respective reporting system at both local and state level offices; and 3) Provide required training to each agency mandated to report criminal history.

**Report of Success:** The Courts Interface with JIS was implemented with the capability of accepting SWJIS (legacy system) court records. Testing of that procedure is ongoing. An interface with Department of Corrections and then the Prosecutors was begun to identify most of the requirements for the two interfaces, but no specifications or coding has been done at this time.

Testing began on automating addition of Prosecutor's actions from the Courts Interface or Court Hold Batch. This change kept numerous records from being cleaned up or in a hold status but will help tremendously to make Criminal History records more complete.

Following are updates of project tasks:

### **Prosecutor:**

MSHP is currently working on a full electronic interface with the prosecutor counties of Prosecutor data and the Criminal History System. The interface program, hold table batch program, and online screens have been unit and system tested and currently there are no outstanding issues. The coding and all testing of this project was completed by June 30. Because of the changes in how Electronic FTP can be done with the State Data Center, that part of the project was not implemented until July or August. But by the end of June, all received records were sent from prosecutors (current electronic FTP, CD, or diskette) through the interface, by slightly reformatting the data.

DOC/Criminal History Interface - MSHP worked with the Department of Corrections on an interface of Custody data and Criminal History. This data is automatically processed from a DOC Batch Interface or Custody Hold Batch process. The batch interface program has been through an initial test with actual DOC records. Volume testing has begun, with User testing and User acceptance to be completed by August 2007. A huge effort is made to synchronize DOC custody data and MSHP custody data. Jobs have been written and tested, with an initial run scheduled for June identified records between DOC and MSHP that do not match on SID and DOC Number. Quality control reports have been written and tested along with several conversion and clean-up jobs that have been completed. On-line Custody Hold procedures have been developed and initial testing is completed. These procedures will allow manual processing of records that have failed edits and require manual intervention. The Custody Hold Batch program is under development. This program will load Custody Hold data to the Criminal History Custody tables. This project should be fully implemented by September 30, 2007.

Prosecutor Interface - Nine Prosecutor Offices sent data electronically via Secure (encrypted) FTP, including Boone, Camden, Chariton, Cole, Dade, Randolph, Saline, Shelby, and Vernon counties. Electronic load processing to Criminal History, as well as electronic error processing was not completed.

Court Hold -The "Court Hold" table contains records that came to Criminal History Central Repository via the Courts Electronic Data Submission Interface that could not be posted to the Criminal History database. There were several quality control tasks necessary to clean-up programs, interface program changes, and other enhancements necessary to "clean-up" the records in the Court Hold table and lessen the number of records landing in Court Hold. Currently there are 20 tasks identified to complete this project.

Applicant Processing, Phase II: Planning began for a project that will allow results from Applicant processing to be sent back to the "sending" agencies via a secure web site. Much of the manual work done (today) by CRID would be automated using AFIS, new Criminal History transactions, and a new web site.

III Synchronization/MSO transaction clean up - The MSO transaction caused some records to be out-of-sync. This project identified and corrected the logic causing these situations.

Electronic Background Checks - This project was active, and allowed agencies to submit background checks electronically via secure FTP. A phase of this project included a process to also send the results from the background check back to the submitting agency electronically. Agencies that tested this FTP process include: University of Missouri Columbia, Missouri Public Defenders Office, Missouri Division of Gaming, Missouri Division of Insurance, Missouri Department of Agriculture, Department of Conservation, Department of Conservation, Department of Natural Resources, University of Missouri Health Care (Hospitals and Clinics), and Missouri Office of Administration.

MetaMorpho - Major enhancements were completed to accept new AFIS design requirements. This involved improving messages being returned from transaction processing and reducing the manual inspections required by CRID for identifying candidates.

Criminal History RAP Sex Offender Response - This project is active and involves the enhancement of the Sex Offender response attached to the RAP. The following data is being added to this response: date of birth, race, sex, height, weight, eye color, hair color, addresses, vehicle, license, offense, conviction info, victim, scars, marks, tattoos, alias info, confinement, and probation. All work has been completed for this project, and implementation for this project along with the new NSOR system is July 11.

Other Criminal History Tasks - Below is a list of active and completed smaller tasks:

1. Cleaned-up programs and code changes for invalid names
2. Deleted 1,682 Kansas arrests
3. Fix code where arrests are not closing
4. Programs change for arrest less than 30 days old
5. Modify the background check code moving SOF to No Match
6. Allow a count disposition after a Change of Venue

Missouri Police Chiefs Association (MPCA):

Under this grant program, the MPCA provided training addressing live scans, criminal history, and work in conjunction with state and local partner to enable training opportunities and ensure the continuity and consistency of the training in this critical area of criminal history.

Criminal history is a series of responsibilities that begin at the local level and then engages a coupling of disciplines to produce a single complete record. Any given record can and must change to reflect actions of other disciplines working cooperatively to ensure the criminal justice system operates effectively and that the records accurately depict any and all such actions. Each record is a living document and is depended upon by governmental entities and the public from employment issues to ensuring safe environments for our children.

Under this grant program, the MPCA conducted training addressing UCR and MULES Audit Process, MULES Entry and Validation, UCR Stats Entry and Validation on line, Criminal History Use and Practices, Sex Offender Registry, Understanding police clerks roles and expectation in testifying to criminal history records, Open and Closed Records legal requirements, Criminal Record Retention and Destruction policies and procedures, Web Entry and Retrieval of UCR Data, Hate Crime Reporting Requirements, RAP Sheet Training and Live-Scan History, Records Management for Small Departments, and Police Clerks Legal and Procedural Requirements of Expungements. (Training Hand Book and all training materials have been submitted with administrative report.) Each student was provided with a minimum of nineteen hours of training in the area of criminal history and live-scan training. This event was attended by approximately 120 records specialists from across the state of Missouri.

In the development of this training event beginning April 30 and concluded May 4, 2007, a working committee of local police clerks and state subject matter experts worked together for three weeks in the development and articulation of subject matter and instructors. MPCA's Executive Director then coordinated the training event.

Criminal Justice Integration Project Management:

The Criminal Justice Integration Program Manager, who is funded out of the JAG grant, performed the following activities for the reporting period.

1. The Program Manager coordinated and facilitated a meeting of the statutorily created Criminal Records and Justice Information Advisory Committee (CRJIAC) which was held on June 25, 2007.
2. The Program Manager facilitated the creation of two subcommittees of the CRJIAC, Criminal Justice Subcommittee and Justice Information Subcommittee, and established goals for each.
3. The Program Manager facilitated an XML training class on the interagency data exchange model for Highway Patrol, Courts, Prosecutors, and Corrections staff.
4. The Program Manager attended the SEARCH conference in February for training on best practices for interagency criminal justice data exchanges.
5. The Program Manager facilitated a planning meeting between the Office of Prosecution Services and the State Courts Administrator staff. The Plan was documented, Action Items created and monitored by the Program Manager.

Criminal Records Subcommittee performed the following activities for the reporting period:

1. The Program Manager coordinated a proposal to send prosecutor actions to criminal history from the Courts rather than from Prosecutors, for more timeliness and accuracy. The proposal was adopted.
2. The Program Manager coordinated a proposal for a legislative change to get municipal felonies and Class A misdemeanors into Criminal History. The CRJIAC approved the legislation.
3. The Program Manager coordinated completion of an electronic interface from the Prosecutors for filing court actions to Criminal History. The interface went into production June 25.
4. The Program Manager coordinated completion of an electronic interface from Corrections for custody data to Criminal History. Testing is in progress.
5. The Program Manager coordinated two meetings and follow-up between Courts and Highway Patrol to facilitate the successful completion of Court dispositions to Criminal History. An action plan and progress is being monitored.

Justice Information Subcommittee performed the following activities for the reporting period:

1. The Program Manager facilitated an agreement with the Regional Justice Information Service (REJIS) to develop a plan for a statewide incident repository. The plan was completed on May 30.
2. The Program Manager coordinated meetings between REJIS and state staff to develop requirements for security and networking for the statewide incident repository.
3. The Program Manager did research on the use of jail data, NIBRS and N-DEX reporting to the federal government, and the compatibility of mug shot systems between REJIS and the State.
4. The Program Manager researched other vendors and other state solutions for a statewide incident repository.

**MSHP Administrative Data Analysis And Problem Identification:** This project established a series of policies, procedures, systems, and reporting recommendations allowing the State of Missouri to more effectively manage the JAG Program by analyzing drug and violent crime environment in the State; assessing effectiveness of existing programs; and offering data and interpretive analysis support for development of new programs. The Missouri State Highway Patrol, coordinating their activities with Department of Public Safety's State Administrative Agency program staff, addressed the following project goals: 1) Provide base-line information to properly assess Missouri's illicit drug and violent crime problems; 2) Support successful administration of Missouri's Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program by providing needed research, evaluation, and data processing services; 3) Enhance capabilities of Missouri's criminal justice information systems deemed mission critical in supporting statewide illicit drug and violent crime problem analysis as well as for grant administration; and 4) Develop web-based UCR standard repository tool to provide state and local criminal justice agencies with UCR operational, administrative, and statistical reports.

**Report of Success: MSHP Statistical Analysis Center:** One MSHP employee attended four weeks of project management training provided by the Missouri Office of Administration. A member of SAC attended a seminar on grants preparation and evaluation in Jefferson City in August 2006. The Statistical Analysis Center's software license agreement with SAS Institute was renewed during this evaluation period. This software was used for statistical analysis supporting quarterly monitor reports for multi-jurisdiction drug task forces and crime labs.

The Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) purchased two reports entitled *Street Drugs: A Drug Identification Guide and Drug Identification Chart* to support the publication of Nature and Extent of the Illicit Drug Problem in Missouri. In addition, a license of Viso 2007 was purchased to support their support of the UCR Program.

The SAC assisted Department of Public Safety with publication of the *Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program FY 06 State Annual Report*. Final reports of success of community oriented policing / crime prevention programs, court delay reduction programs, intensive supervision probation / parole programs, criminal records improvement programs, and administrative programs, were summarized for the *Annual Report*. In addition, summaries of quarterly reports submitted by multi-jurisdictional drug task forces and crime laboratories were completed for the *Annual Report*.

A survey of random sample of Missouri citizens was conducted to collect their opinion of illicit drug use. Persons in the sample were asked about risks associated with use of marijuana, cocaine / crack, methamphetamine, heroin, and ecstasy. Also sampled were questions regarding enforcement of drug laws, punishment / sentencing of convicted drug users, and legalization of drugs. Results of the survey were published in *2006 Criminal Justice / Law Enforcement Program Prevalence of Drugs Survey*.

Development of a questionnaire was used in a survey of multi-jurisdictional drug task force points of contact. The questionnaire solicited task force personnel's opinions on extent of various drug industries in Missouri including marijuana cultivation, methamphetamine clandestine laboratories, interstate distribution and trafficking, crack processing, and point-of-sale distribution. In addition, their opinions were solicited on industry participants' demographics, industry locations, and organization levels associated with each drug industry. The completed survey along with the analyses of responses was published in *Nature and Extent of the Illicit Drug Problem in Missouri 2007*.

Data was received from Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS), Department of Mental Health (DMH), and Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP) to be used in development of the *Missouri Statewide Drug and Violent Crime Strategy FY07*. Data received from the DHSS describe Missouri emergency hospital admissions involving illicit drug overdoses or side affects while data received from DMH described illicit drug related admissions to Missouri maintained treatment centers. Data received from the MSHP describe violent crime activity in Missouri included offenses of homicide, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.

The following requests were conducted by the Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) during this grant period:

Statistical analyses were provided describing 2001 through 2005 UCR Part I offenses and a listing of registered sex offenders in Cass County and Independence. These were provided to the MSHP Criminal Records and Identification Division (CRID), and in turn, an attorney of a child custody case.

The following requests for information were filled by the UCR Unit during this grant period:

A request by Sergeant Bill Lowry of the Research & Development Division of the Missouri State Highway Patrol was filled. Sgt. Lowry requested crime incident and arrest information for the calendar years of 2003, 2004, and 2005 for the Missouri State Highway Patrol (MSHP).

2005 Part I Index crime totals for at or near the University Campus along with arrest data was provided to the University of Missouri Rolla Campus Department of Public Safety.

Annual arrest and offense reports for 2001 through 2006 YTD were created, from which rape offense data and arrest data on rape, sex offenses, and prostitution was extracted into a separate report. This information was provided to a Missouri State Senator.

Collected Missouri Crime and Arrest Digest publications from 1984 through 2000, Crime Summaries from 1975 through 2000, and UCR reports for Cole County from 2001 to 2006 YTD were provided to the Missouri State Public Defender System, Capital Division.

Information regarding assaults on law enforcement officers for the years of 2003, 2004 and 2005 were provided to the Criminal Records & Identification Division (CRID) of the MSHP for the Governor's Office.

First and second quarter crime laboratory and first quarter multi-jurisdictional task force FY07 reports were processed and data entered to their respective databases. Quality control analyses were completed to ensure a high level of accuracy and reports based on these data were produced and provided to DPS CJ / LE program staff.

Training was provided in October 2006 to staff from two multi-jurisdictional drug task forces on the Microsoft Excel spreadsheet developed by SAC to electronically capture task force quarterly report data. Field edits and cross-field validation processes were included in the spreadsheets to ensure accurate and complete data was available at point of entry.

Evaluation designs were completed for six FY07 grantees that have unique work activities, goals, and objectives under these program areas: Community Oriented Policing / Crime Prevention (1 grant); Career Criminal Prosecution (2 grants); Criminal Records Improvement (2 grants); and Innovative Programs (1 grant). Evaluation designs were completed for multi-jurisdictional drug task forces (29 grants) and crime laboratories (16 grants). These evaluations establish a series of performance indicators that project managers should address in their annual reports and quarterly progress reports to determine compliance with grant requirements. The evaluation plans were published in the *Missouri Narcotics Control Assistance Program 2006 – 2007 Evaluation Plan* and were provided to DPS CJ/LE program staff.

During this grant period, two data files were extracted from the UCR repository and provided to the FBI CJIS for incorporation into the federal UCR database. These were:

08/03/2006: All new records through June 2006 and modified 2005 records.

11/07/06: All new through September 2006 and modified 2005 records.

A modification was made to Notes view of deleted UCR transactions to sort by date and time.

A modification was made to Notes view of deleted UCR transactions to show all records rather than limit of 15 per view, and a sort by descending date.

A new Notes view was created to display all record status for all modifications.

A file was created of a random sample of UCR agencies with their total number of index crimes. The file was provided to MSHP, CRID for auditing purposes.

**MSHP Uniform Crime Reporting Unit:**

From July 1 to December 31, 2006, the UCR Unit provided the following training:

<b>Training Provided</b>	<b># Students</b>
MIBRS Software Application Support	2
MIBRS Basic Training	14
MIBRS Software Demo & Install	8
MIBRS Refresher Training	9
MIBRS Software Training	31
MIBRS Sub/Cert/Error File Processing Training	13
MIBRS Software Upgrades	11
One-on-one UCR Training	66
Patrol Recruit's UCR Basic Training	43
UCR Basic Training	33
UCR Refresher Training	29
UCR Training for Supervisors	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>267</b>

From July 1 to December 31, 2006, the UCR Unit conducted the following reviews and audits:

<b>Audit</b>	<b># Conducted</b>
UCR	106

From July 1 to December 31, 2006, the UCR Unit processed the following reports and provided feedback to supported agency personnel and to the Missouri Department of Public Safety on agency reporting status:

UCR Reports Processed	
Approved	2300
Rejected	106
Questionable	614
<b>Total</b>	<b>3020</b>

MSHP Criminal History Services Unit:

The Criminal History Services Unit established and implemented procedures to continually monitor fingerprint and case disposition input processing to the criminal history records system to identify and resolve input processing issues as they arise.

The Criminal History Services Unit is currently in the process of establishing and implementing procedures to review electronic fingerprinting image capture processes and will conduct periodic reviews of these processes. The Criminal History Service Unit reviews the Court Hold table and attaches case dispositions to arrest records.

Articles were prepared for the CJIS newsletter, published by the MSHP Criminal Records and Identification Division, for distribution to criminal justice agencies reporting criminal history data. The purpose of the articles was to inform contributors of current methods, policies, and procedures on criminal history reporting. The *Fundamentals of Criminal History Reporting Manual* is currently being revised and the Criminal Records Division statistics are being recorded and analyzed to improve reporting processes.

## **IV. Supplemental Information and Documentation**

# **Attachment A**

## **Multi-Jurisdictional Task Force Fiscal Year 2007 Summary Report**

TABLE 1  
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

QUARTER

	QTR 1				QTR2				QTR3				QTR4			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE																
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	4	0	6	6	4	0	6	6	4	0	6	6	4	0	6	6
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	5	0	13	13	5	0	13	13	5	0	13	13	5	0	12	12
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	8	1	6	7	8	1	6	7	8	1	6	7	8	1	6	7
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	5	0	3	3	5	0	4	4	5	0	3	3	5	0	3	3
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	35	2	9	11	36	2	9	11	39	2	9	11	39	2	10	12
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	22	22	14	0	22	22	14	0	22	22	14	0	22	22
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	6	1	10	11	6	1	10	11	5	0	8	8	5	0	8	8
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	8	0	11	11	8	0	12	12	8	0	11	11	8	0	11	11
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	3	1	5	6	3	1	5	6	3	1	5	6	3	1	5	6
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	7	0	3	3	7	0	3	3	7	0	3	3	7	0	3	3
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	8	0	10	10	8	0	10	10	8	0	10	10	8	0	10	10
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	12	0	8	8	12	0	8	8	12	0	9	9	12	0	9	9
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	0	5	5	14	0	5	5	14	0	7	7	14	0	7	7
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	14	2	4	6	14	0	5	5	14	0	5	5	14	0	5	5

TABLE 1  
INVOLVEMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS/AGENCIES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

QUARTER

	QTR 1				QTR2				QTR3				QTR4			
	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE AGENCIES IN TASK FORCE	PART TIME LE OFFICERS	FULL TIME LE OFFICERS	TOTAL LE OFFICERS
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE																
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	8	0	6	6	8	0	6	6	8	0	6	6	8	0	6	6
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	4	0	6	6	4	0	6	6	4	0	6	6	4	0	6	6
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	9	2	4	6	9	2	4	6	9	2	4	6	9	2	4	6
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	25	0	15	15	24	0	14	14	24	0	14	14	24	0	14	14
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	7	0	15	15	7	0	15	15	7	0	14	14	7	0	14	14
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	18	0	18	18	18	0	59	59	18	0	59	59	17	0	58	58
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	2	0	25	25	2	0	25	25	2	0	25	25	2	0	10	10
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	18	3	4	7	18	3	4	7	18	3	4	7	18	3	4	7
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	31	0	11	11	31	0	12	12	31	0	12	12	31	0	11	11
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	9	0	6	6	9	0	6	6	9	0	6	6	9	0	6	6
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	6	3	7	10	6	3	7	10	6	3	7	10	6	3	7	10
NITRO-CAMERON TF	7	0	9	9	7	1	8	9	7	1	8	9	7	1	8	9
FRANKLIN UNION TF	7	0	6	6	7	1	6	7	7	1	6	7	7	1	7	8
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	15	1	3	4	13	1	3	4	9	1	3	4	5	1	2	3
STATEWIDE TOTAL	309	16	250	266	307	16	293	309	305	15	291	306	300	15		

TABLE 2  
PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES DISPOSED	%	CASES CARRIED OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ		FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	9	491	500	499	99.8	1
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	415	436	851	497	58.4	354
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	518	287	805	173	21.5	632
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	29	88	117	61	52.1	56
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	271	423	694	398	57.3	296
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	312	121	433	90	20.8	343
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	206	261	467	183	39.2	284
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	0	535	535	535	100.0	0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	90	90	90	100.0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	3	115	118	116	98.3	2
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	475	407	882	263	29.8	619
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	3	394	397	395	99.5	2
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	54	314	368	291	79.1	77
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	84	196	280	109	38.9	171
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	202	215	417	348	83.5	69

TABLE 2 (cont.)  
PROCESSING STATUS OF DRUG CASES/INVESTIGATIONS  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	ACTIVE CARRY-IN CASES	INITATED NEW CASES	TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	CASES DISPOSED	%	CASES CARRIED OUT
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ		FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	3	264	267	260	97.4	7
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	49	159	208	169	81.3	39
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	1,368	424	1,792	217	12.1	1,575
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	354	634	988	692	70.0	296
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	305	812	1,117	1,066	95.4	51
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	10	246	256	253	98.8	3
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	956	351	1,307	68	5.2	1,239
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	399	288	687	146	21.3	541
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	346	256	602	518	86.0	84
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	96	308	404	355	87.9	49
NITRO-CAMERON TF	57	65	122	55	45.1	67
FRANKLIN UNION TF	111	135	246	168	68.3	78
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	220	175	395	164	41.5	231
STATEWIDE TOTAL	6,855	8,490	15,345	8,179	53.3	7,166

TABLE 3  
OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	ARRESTEES WITH ONE OR MORE DRUG CHARGES		ARRESTEES WITH NO DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL ARRESTEES	
	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE						
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	359	82.5	76	17.5	435	100.0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	233	76.1	73	23.9	306	100.0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	212	92.6	17	7.4	229	100.0
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	55	100.0	0	0.0	55	100.0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	357	93.7	24	6.3	381	100.0
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	143	84.6	26	15.4	169	100.0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	129	58.6	91	41.4	220	100.0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	403	87.0	60	13.0	463	100.0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	90	100.0	0	0.0	90	100.0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	77	90.6	8	9.4	85	100.0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	169	98.8	2	1.2	171	100.0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	340	93.9	22	6.1	362	100.0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	165	92.2	14	7.8	179	100.0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	95	92.2	8	7.8	103	100.0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	151	88.8	19	11.2	170	100.0

TABLE 3 (cont.)  
OFFENSE STATUS OF PERSONS ARRESTED BY DRUG TASK FORCES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	ARRESTEES WITH ONE OR MORE DRUG CHARGES		ARRESTEES WITH NO DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL ARRESTEES	
	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE						
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	260	97.0	8	3.0	268	100.0
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	136	68.7	62	31.3	198	100.0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	389	81.9	86	18.1	475	100.0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	518	100.0	0	0.0	518	100.0
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	709	85.1	124	14.9	833	100.0
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	225	84.9	40	15.1	265	100.0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	62	89.9	7	10.1	69	100.0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	128	90.1	14	9.9	142	100.0
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	306	95.3	15	4.7	321	100.0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	333	80.8	79	19.2	412	100.0
NITRO-CAMERON TF	75	70.8	31	29.2	106	100.0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	257	91.8	23	8.2	280	100.0
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	109	89.3	13	10.7	122	100.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	6485	87.3	942	12.7	7427	100.0

TABLE 4  
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	POSSESSION DRUG CHARGES		SALE/MANUFCT DRUG CHARGES		NON DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE								
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	405	79.7	23	4.5	80	15.7	508	100.0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	235	63.2	60	16.1	77	20.7	372	100.0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	156	68.1	56	24.5	17	7.4	229	100.0
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	36	61.0	23	39.0	0	0.0	59	100.0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	255	66.9	102	26.8	24	6.3	381	100.0
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	123	72.8	20	11.8	26	15.4	169	100.0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	94	42.7	35	15.9	91	41.4	220	100.0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	349	45.8	324	42.5	89	11.7	762	100.0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	155	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	155	100.0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	45	52.9	32	37.6	8	9.4	85	100.0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	105	61.4	64	37.4	2	1.2	171	100.0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	265	57.9	166	36.2	27	5.9	458	100.0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	137	74.9	32	17.5	14	7.7	183	100.0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	106	80.9	15	11.5	10	7.6	131	100.0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	168	51.7	118	36.3	39	12.0	325	100.0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	107	39.3	157	57.7	8	2.9	272	100.0

TABLE 4 (cont.)  
 DRUG OFFENSE STATUS OF CHARGES IN TASK FORCE ARRESTS  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	POSSESSION DRUG CHARGES		SALE/MANUFACT DRUG CHARGES		NON DRUG CHARGES		TOTAL CHARGES	
	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %	FREQ	ROW %
DRUG TASK FORCE								
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	245	71.6	35	10.2	62	18.1	342	100.0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	278	58.5	111	23.4	86	18.1	475	100.0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	331	56.2	253	43.0	5	0.8	589	100.0
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	546	39.5	539	38.9	299	21.6	1384	100.0
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	269	49.8	71	13.1	200	37.0	540	100.0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	41	35.7	56	48.7	18	15.7	115	100.0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	78	33.5	136	58.4	19	8.2	233	100.0
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	121	37.7	185	57.6	15	4.7	321	100.0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	561	66.8	151	18.0	128	15.2	840	100.0
NITRO-CAMERON TF	55	26.6	84	40.6	68	32.9	207	100.0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	140	49.8	118	42.0	23	8.2	281	100.0
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	66	52.8	43	34.4	16	12.8	125	100.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	5472	55.1	3009	30.3	1451	14.6	9932	100.0

TABLE 5  
STATEWIDE DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 7427  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-MARIJUANA	1709	17.21	1709	17.21
POSS	-METH	1274	12.83	2983	30.03
SALE	-METH	968	9.75	3951	39.78
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	888	8.94	4839	48.72
NODRG	-OTHER	878	8.84	5717	57.56
SALE	-MARIJUANA	870	8.76	6587	66.32
SALE	-CRACK	547	5.51	7134	71.83
POSS	-CRACK	467	4.70	7601	76.53
POSS	-OTHER	376	3.79	7977	80.32
POSS	-COCAINE	355	3.57	8332	83.89
NODRG	-WEAPONS	344	3.46	8676	87.35
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	329	3.31	9005	90.67
SALE	-COCAINE	191	1.92	9196	92.59
POSS	-HEROIN	170	1.71	9366	94.30
POSS	-ECSTASY	116	1.17	9482	95.47
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	98	0.99	9580	96.46
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	71	0.71	9651	97.17
SALE	-ECSTASY	70	0.70	9721	97.88
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	57	0.57	9778	98.45
SALE	-HEROIN	55	0.55	9833	99.00
NODRG	-ASSAULT	49	0.49	9882	99.50
SALE	-LSD	17	0.17	9899	99.67
POSS	-PCP	14	0.14	9913	99.81
NODRG	-KIDNAP	6	0.06	9919	99.87
POSS	-LSD	6	0.06	9925	99.93
NODRG	-MURDER	5	0.05	9930	99.98
SALE	-PCP	2	0.02	9932	100.00

TABLE 6  
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 435  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-MARIJUANA	152	29.92	152	29.92
POSS	-CRACK	92	18.11	244	48.03
NODRG	-OTHER	65	12.80	309	60.83
POSS	-HEROIN	47	9.25	356	70.08
POSS	-COCAINE	38	7.48	394	77.56
POSS	-METH	26	5.12	420	82.68
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	24	4.72	444	87.40
POSS	-ECSTASY	16	3.15	460	90.55
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	10	1.97	470	92.52
SALE	-CRACK	9	1.77	479	94.29
SALE	-MARIJUANA	8	1.57	487	95.87
POSS	-OTHER	6	1.18	493	97.05
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	4	0.79	497	97.83
NODRG	-WEAPONS	3	0.59	500	98.43
SALE	-COCAINE	2	0.39	502	98.82
SALE	-HEROIN	2	0.39	504	99.21
SALE	-METH	2	0.39	506	99.61
NODRG	-ASSAULT	1	0.20	507	99.80
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	1	0.20	508	100.00

TABLE 7  
 BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE  
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 306  
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-METH	90	24.19	90	24.19
NODRG	-OTHER	65	17.47	155	41.67
POSS	-MARIJUANA	59	15.86	214	57.53
POSS	-OTHER	35	9.41	249	66.94
POSS	-CRACK	22	5.91	271	72.85
POSS	-COCAINE	18	4.84	289	77.69
SALE	-MARIJUANA	18	4.84	307	82.53
SALE	-METH	17	4.57	324	87.10
SALE	-CRACK	14	3.76	338	90.86
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	11	2.96	349	93.82
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	7	1.88	356	95.70
SALE	-ECSTASY	5	1.34	361	97.04
NODRG	-WEAPONS	3	0.81	364	97.85
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	3	0.81	367	98.66
NODRG	-ASSAULT	1	0.27	368	98.92
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	1	0.27	369	99.19
POSS	-ECSTASY	1	0.27	370	99.46
POSS	-HEROIN	1	0.27	371	99.73
SALE	-COCAINE	1	0.27	372	100.00

TABLE 8  
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 229  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	51	22.27	51	22.27
POSS	-MARIJUANA	47	20.52	98	42.79
POSS	-METH	40	17.47	138	60.26
SALE	-METH	26	11.35	164	71.62
SALE	-MARIJUANA	17	7.42	181	79.04
POSS	-OTHER	15	6.55	196	85.59
NODRG	-OTHER	9	3.93	205	89.52
NODRG	-WEAPONS	5	2.18	210	91.70
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	5	2.18	215	93.89
SALE	-COCAINE	5	2.18	220	96.07
POSS	-ECSTASY	4	1.75	224	97.82
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	2	0.87	226	98.69
POSS	-COCAINE	2	0.87	228	99.56
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	1	0.44	229	100.00

TABLE 9  
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 55  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-MARIJUANA	17	28.81	17	28.81
SALE	-METH	15	25.42	32	54.24
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	11	18.64	43	72.88
POSS	-METH	9	15.25	52	88.14
POSS	-OTHER	3	5.08	55	93.22
POSS	-COCAINE	2	3.39	57	96.61
SALE	-MARIJUANA	2	3.39	59	100.00

TABLE 10  
 COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM-GREENE CO  
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 381  
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	76	19.95	76	19.95
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	64	16.80	140	36.75
POSS -MARIJUANA	62	16.27	202	53.02
SALE -MARIJUANA	55	14.44	257	67.45
POSS -OTHER	40	10.50	297	77.95
SALE -METH	26	6.82	323	84.78
NODRG -OTHER	13	3.41	336	88.19
NODRG -WEAPONS	11	2.89	347	91.08
POSS -COCAINE	10	2.62	357	93.70
SALE -COCAINE	6	1.57	363	95.28
POSS -CRACK	5	1.31	368	96.59
SALE -LSD	5	1.31	373	97.90
POSS -ECSTASY	3	0.79	376	98.69
SALE -ECSTASY	3	0.79	379	99.48
POSS -HEROIN	1	0.26	380	99.74
POSS -LSD	1	0.26	381	100.00

TABLE 11  
 JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE  
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 169  
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	52	30.77	52	30.77
POSS -MARIJUANA	40	23.67	92	54.44
NODRG -OTHER	22	13.02	114	67.46
POSS -ECSTASY	16	9.47	130	76.92
POSS -CRACK	9	5.33	139	82.25
SALE -CRACK	9	5.33	148	87.57
SALE -METH	7	4.14	155	91.72
POSS -COCAINE	4	2.37	159	94.08
NODRG -WEAPONS	3	1.78	162	95.86
POSS -OTHER	2	1.18	164	97.04
SALE -ECSTASY	2	1.18	166	98.22
NODRG -MURDER	1	0.59	167	98.82
SALE -HEROIN	1	0.59	168	99.41
SALE -MARIJUANA	1	0.59	169	100.00

TABLE 12  
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 220  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
NODRG	-OTHER	80	36.36	80	36.36
POSS	-METH	48	21.82	128	58.18
SALE	-METH	28	12.73	156	70.91
POSS	-MARIJUANA	22	10.00	178	80.91
NODRG	-WEAPONS	11	5.00	189	85.91
POSS	-CRACK	11	5.00	200	90.91
POSS	-OTHER	8	3.64	208	94.55
SALE	-MARIJUANA	4	1.82	212	96.36
POSS	-ECSTASY	3	1.36	215	97.73
POSS	-COCAINE	2	0.91	217	98.64
SALE	-COCAINE	2	0.91	219	99.55
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	1	0.45	220	100.00

TABLE 13  
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.-JEFFERSON CO  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 463  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE	-METH	191	25.07	191	25.07
POSS	-MARIJUANA	142	18.64	333	43.70
POSS	-METH	70	9.19	403	52.89
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	63	8.27	466	61.15
SALE	-MARIJUANA	55	7.22	521	68.37
NODRG	-OTHER	49	6.43	570	74.80
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	45	5.91	615	80.71
SALE	-COCAINE	28	3.67	643	84.38
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	18	2.36	661	86.75
POSS	-OTHER	18	2.36	679	89.11
POSS	-COCAINE	15	1.97	694	91.08
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	13	1.71	707	92.78
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	11	1.44	718	94.23
SALE	-CRACK	10	1.31	728	95.54
NODRG	-WEAPONS	8	1.05	736	96.59
SALE	-HEROIN	7	0.92	743	97.51
POSS	-CRACK	6	0.79	749	98.29
NODRG	-KIDNAP	5	0.66	754	98.95
NODRG	-MURDER	2	0.26	756	99.21
POSS	-HEROIN	2	0.26	758	99.48
SALE	-ECSTASY	2	0.26	760	99.74
NODRG	-ASSAULT	1	0.13	761	99.87
POSS	-ECSTASY	1	0.13	762	100.00

TABLE 14  
 KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE  
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 90  
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	79	50.97	79	50.97
POSS -COCAINE	30	19.35	109	70.32
POSS -METH	19	12.26	128	82.58
POSS -PCP	11	7.10	139	89.68
POSS -HEROIN	10	6.45	149	96.13
POSS -CRACK	3	1.94	152	98.06
POSS -OTHER	3	1.94	155	100.00

TABLE 15  
 LAFAYETTE CO NARC UNIT  
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 85  
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	24	28.24	24	28.24
SALE -MARIJUANA	15	17.65	39	45.88
SALE -METH	11	12.94	50	58.82
NODRG -OTHER	6	7.06	56	65.88
POSS -COCAINE	6	7.06	62	72.94
POSS -MARIJUANA	6	7.06	68	80.00
POSS -CRACK	5	5.88	73	85.88
POSS -OTHER	4	4.71	77	90.59
SALE -CRACK	3	3.53	80	94.12
NODRG -ASSAULT	2	2.35	82	96.47
SALE -COCAINE	2	2.35	84	98.82
SALE -ECSTASY	1	1.18	85	100.00

TABLE 16  
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 171  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-MARIJUANA	55	32.16	55	32.16
SALE	-CRACK	47	27.49	102	59.65
POSS	-CRACK	15	8.77	117	68.42
POSS	-COCAINE	13	7.60	130	76.02
POSS	-METH	12	7.02	142	83.04
SALE	-MARIJUANA	8	4.68	150	87.72
POSS	-OTHER	7	4.09	157	91.81
SALE	-COCAINE	5	2.92	162	94.74
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	3	1.75	165	96.49
POSS	-HEROIN	2	1.17	167	97.66
NODRG	-ASSAULT	1	0.58	168	98.25
NODRG	-WEAPONS	1	0.58	169	98.83
SALE	-HEROIN	1	0.58	170	99.42
SALE	-METH	1	0.58	171	100.00

TABLE 17  
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 362  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-METH	134	29.26	134	29.26
SALE	-METH	96	20.96	230	50.22
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	71	15.50	301	65.72
POSS	-MARIJUANA	46	10.04	347	75.76
SALE	-MARIJUANA	30	6.55	377	82.31
POSS	-OTHER	17	3.71	394	86.03
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	15	3.28	409	89.30
NODRG	-OTHER	11	2.40	420	91.70
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	8	1.75	428	93.45
SALE	-ECSTASY	8	1.75	436	95.20
POSS	-CRACK	6	1.31	442	96.51
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	5	1.09	447	97.60
POSS	-COCAINE	4	0.87	451	98.47
POSS	-ECSTASY	4	0.87	455	99.34
NODRG	-WEAPONS	3	0.66	458	100.00

TABLE 18  
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 179  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -METH	59	32.24	59	32.24
POSS -MARIJUANA	57	31.15	116	63.39
SALE -METH	23	12.57	139	75.96
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	14	7.65	153	83.61
NODRG -OTHER	9	4.92	162	88.52
SALE -MARIJUANA	9	4.92	171	93.44
NODRG -RESIST ARREST	5	2.73	176	96.17
POSS -OTHER	4	2.19	180	98.36
POSS -COCAINE	3	1.64	183	100.00

TABLE 19  
N METRO DRUG & GANG TASK FORCE-N KC  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 103  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS -MARIJUANA	45	34.35	45	34.35
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	22	16.79	67	51.15
POSS -OTHER	17	12.98	84	64.12
POSS -METH	16	12.21	100	76.34
POSS -CRACK	7	5.34	107	81.68
SALE -METH	7	5.34	114	87.02
NODRG -ASSAULT	3	2.29	117	89.31
NODRG -OTHER	3	2.29	120	91.60
SALE -COCAINE	3	2.29	123	93.89
NODRG -WEAPONS	2	1.53	125	95.42
SALE -CRACK	2	1.53	127	96.95
NODRG -CHILD ENDANG	1	0.76	128	97.71
NODRG -RESIST ARREST	1	0.76	129	98.47
POSS -COCAINE	1	0.76	130	99.24
SALE -MARIJUANA	1	0.76	131	100.00

TABLE 20  
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 170  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	63	19.38	63	19.38
POSS	-MARIJUANA	62	19.08	125	38.46
SALE	-MARIJUANA	56	17.23	181	55.69
SALE	-CRACK	26	8.00	207	63.69
NODRG	-OTHER	17	5.23	224	68.92
SALE	-METH	15	4.62	239	73.54
POSS	-METH	13	4.00	252	77.54
NODRG	-WEAPONS	10	3.08	262	80.62
SALE	-COCAINE	10	3.08	272	83.69
POSS	-COCAINE	9	2.77	281	86.46
POSS	-CRACK	9	2.77	290	89.23
POSS	-OTHER	9	2.77	299	92.00
NODRG	-ASSAULT	8	2.46	307	94.46
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	6	1.85	313	96.31
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	3	0.92	316	97.23
SALE	-ECSTASY	3	0.92	319	98.15
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	2	0.62	321	98.77
POSS	-HEROIN	2	0.62	323	99.38
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	1	0.31	324	99.69
SALE	-HEROIN	1	0.31	325	100.00

TABLE 21  
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 268  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE	-CRACK	115	42.28	115	42.28
POSS	-CRACK	36	13.24	151	55.51
POSS	-MARIJUANA	25	9.19	176	64.71
SALE	-METH	24	8.82	200	73.53
POSS	-METH	20	7.35	220	80.88
SALE	-MARIJUANA	13	4.78	233	85.66
POSS	-OTHER	12	4.41	245	90.07
POSS	-COCAINE	11	4.04	256	94.12
NODRG	-WEAPONS	7	2.57	263	96.69
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	5	1.84	268	98.53
SALE	-COCAINE	2	0.74	270	99.26
NODRG	-OTHER	1	0.37	271	99.63
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	1	0.37	272	100.00

TABLE 22  
 PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP  
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 198  
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	110	32.16	110	32.16
POSS	-MARIJUANA	79	23.10	189	55.26
NODRG	-OTHER	62	18.13	251	73.39
SALE	-MARIJUANA	21	6.14	272	79.53
POSS	-METH	20	5.85	292	85.38
POSS	-CRACK	12	3.51	304	88.89
POSS	-COCAINE	10	2.92	314	91.81
POSS	-OTHER	10	2.92	324	94.74
SALE	-METH	7	2.05	331	96.78
SALE	-CRACK	3	0.88	334	97.66
POSS	-ECSTASY	2	0.58	336	98.25
SALE	-COCAINE	2	0.58	338	98.83
SALE	-ECSTASY	2	0.58	340	99.42
POSS	-HEROIN	1	0.29	341	99.71
POSS	-PCP	1	0.29	342	100.00

TABLE 23  
 SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE  
 DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
 TOTAL ARRESTS = 475  
 QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-MARIJUANA	110	23.16	110	23.16
NODRG	-OTHER	85	17.89	195	41.05
POSS	-METH	56	11.79	251	52.84
SALE	-METH	41	8.63	292	61.47
POSS	-CRACK	35	7.37	327	68.84
POSS	-OTHER	29	6.11	356	74.95
SALE	-CRACK	25	5.26	381	80.21
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	20	4.21	401	84.42
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	20	4.21	421	88.63
POSS	-COCAINE	18	3.79	439	92.42
SALE	-MARIJUANA	17	3.58	456	96.00
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	7	1.47	463	97.47
POSS	-HEROIN	5	1.05	468	98.53
SALE	-ECSTASY	3	0.63	471	99.16
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	1	0.21	472	99.37
POSS	-ECSTASY	1	0.21	473	99.58
POSS	-LSD	1	0.21	474	99.79
SALE	-HEROIN	1	0.21	475	100.00

TABLE 24  
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 518  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE	-MARIJUANA	119	20.20	119	20.20
POSS	-MARIJUANA	81	13.75	200	33.96
POSS	-METH	78	13.24	278	47.20
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	47	7.98	325	55.18
SALE	-COCAINE	42	7.13	367	62.31
SALE	-CRACK	36	6.11	403	68.42
SALE	-ECSTASY	31	5.26	434	73.68
POSS	-COCAINE	28	4.75	462	78.44
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	26	4.41	488	82.85
POSS	-ECSTASY	25	4.24	513	87.10
POSS	-OTHER	20	3.40	533	90.49
POSS	-CRACK	19	3.23	552	93.72
SALE	-HEROIN	11	1.87	563	95.59
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	8	1.36	571	96.94
POSS	-HEROIN	8	1.36	579	98.30
NODRG	-WEAPONS	4	0.68	583	98.98
POSS	-LSD	2	0.34	585	99.32
SALE	-LSD	2	0.34	587	99.66
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	1	0.17	588	99.83
SALE	-METH	1	0.17	589	100.00

TABLE 25  
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 833  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-MARIJUANA	215	15.53	215	15.53
SALE	-CRACK	190	13.73	405	29.26
NODRG	-OTHER	170	12.28	575	41.55
SALE	-MARIJUANA	145	10.48	720	52.02
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	106	7.66	826	59.68
NODRG	-WEAPONS	104	7.51	930	67.20
SALE	-METH	84	6.07	1014	73.27
POSS	-CRACK	73	5.27	1087	78.54
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	71	5.13	1158	83.67
POSS	-COCAINE	53	3.83	1211	87.50
POSS	-METH	35	2.53	1246	90.03
POSS	-HEROIN	23	1.66	1269	91.69
SALE	-HEROIN	20	1.45	1289	93.14
POSS	-ECSTASY	19	1.37	1308	94.51
SALE	-COCAINE	19	1.37	1327	95.88
POSS	-OTHER	17	1.23	1344	97.11
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	12	0.87	1356	97.98
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	12	0.87	1368	98.84
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	7	0.51	1375	99.35
SALE	-ECSTASY	3	0.22	1378	99.57
POSS	-LSD	2	0.14	1380	99.71
SALE	-PCP	2	0.14	1382	99.86
NODRG	-MURDER	1	0.07	1383	99.93
POSS	-PCP	1	0.07	1384	100.00

TABLE 26  
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG.-STL CITY  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 265  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
NODRG -OTHER	107	19.81	107	19.81
POSS -CRACK	84	15.56	191	35.37
NODRG -WEAPONS	81	15.00	272	50.37
POSS -MARIJUANA	57	10.56	329	60.93
POSS -HEROIN	54	10.00	383	70.93
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	38	7.04	421	77.96
SALE -CRACK	28	5.19	449	83.15
POSS -COCAINE	17	3.15	466	86.30
SALE -COCAINE	15	2.78	481	89.07
SALE -MARIJUANA	15	2.78	496	91.85
POSS -ECSTASY	13	2.41	509	94.26
NODRG -RESIST ARREST	10	1.85	519	96.11
POSS -OTHER	6	1.11	525	97.22
SALE -METH	6	1.11	531	98.33
SALE -HEROIN	5	0.93	536	99.26
NODRG -ASSAULT	1	0.19	537	99.44
NODRG -MURDER	1	0.19	538	99.63
POSS -METH	1	0.19	539	99.81
POSS -PCP	1	0.19	540	100.00

TABLE 27  
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 69  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	32	27.83	32	27.83
POSS -MARIJUANA	23	20.00	55	47.83
SALE -METH	18	15.65	73	63.48
NODRG -OTHER	12	10.43	85	73.91
POSS -METH	8	6.96	93	80.87
NODRG -WEAPONS	6	5.22	99	86.09
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	4	3.48	103	89.57
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	4	3.48	107	93.04
SALE -COCAINE	3	2.61	110	95.65
SALE -CRACK	3	2.61	113	98.26
POSS -COCAINE	2	1.74	115	100.00

TABLE 28  
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 142  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -METH	64	27.47	64	27.47
POSS -METH	46	19.74	110	47.21
POSS -OTHER	38	16.31	148	63.52
SALE -MARIJUANA	34	14.59	182	78.11
POSS -MARIJUANA	27	11.59	209	89.70
NODRG -OTHER	12	5.15	221	94.85
SALE -COCAINE	5	2.15	226	97.00
NODRG -ASSAULT	3	1.29	229	98.28
NODRG -WEAPONS	3	1.29	232	99.57
NODRG -RESIST ARREST	1	0.43	233	100.00

TABLE 29  
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 321  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE

DRUG	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE -MARIJUANA	99	30.84	99	30.84
POSS -PARAPHERNALIA	36	11.21	135	42.06
POSS -MARIJUANA	29	9.03	164	51.09
SALE -METH	26	8.10	190	59.19
SALE -CRACK	24	7.48	214	66.67
POSS -OTHER	23	7.17	237	73.83
SALE -COCAINE	20	6.23	257	80.06
POSS -METH	17	5.30	274	85.36
POSS -COCAINE	16	4.98	290	90.34
NODRG -OTHER	7	2.18	297	92.52
POSS -PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	7	2.18	304	94.70
NODRG -CHILD ENDANG	5	1.56	309	96.26
POSS -CRACK	4	1.25	313	97.51
SALE -ECSTASY	3	0.93	316	98.44
NODRG -WEAPONS	2	0.62	318	99.07
NODRG -RESIST ARREST	1	0.31	319	99.38
POSS -ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	1	0.31	320	99.69
POSS -ECSTASY	1	0.31	321	100.00

TABLE 30  
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 412  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	180	21.43	180	21.43
POSS	-METH	178	21.19	358	42.62
POSS	-MARIJUANA	125	14.88	483	57.50
SALE	-METH	87	10.36	570	67.86
NODRG	-WEAPONS	45	5.36	615	73.21
SALE	-MARIJUANA	42	5.00	657	78.21
POSS	-COCAINE	34	4.05	691	82.26
NODRG	-OTHER	30	3.57	721	85.83
POSS	-OTHER	20	2.38	741	88.21
NODRG	-ASSAULT	19	2.26	760	90.48
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	18	2.14	778	92.62
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	16	1.90	794	94.52
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	14	1.67	808	96.19
SALE	-COCAINE	13	1.55	821	97.74
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	11	1.31	832	99.05
POSS	-ECSTASY	3	0.36	835	99.40
POSS	-CRACK	2	0.24	837	99.64
POSS	-HEROIN	1	0.12	838	99.76
SALE	-CRACK	1	0.12	839	99.88
SALE	-HEROIN	1	0.12	840	100.00

TABLE 31  
NITRO-CAMERON TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 106  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
SALE	-METH	80	38.65	80	38.65
NODRG	-OTHER	33	15.94	113	54.59
POSS	-MARIJUANA	20	9.66	133	64.25
POSS	-METH	19	9.18	152	73.43
NODRG	-WEAPONS	18	8.70	170	82.13
NODRG	-RESIST ARREST	9	4.35	179	86.47
NODRG	-ASSAULT	8	3.86	187	90.34
POSS	-CRACK	8	3.86	195	94.20
POSS	-COCAINE	4	1.93	199	96.14
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	4	1.93	203	98.07
SALE	-ECSTASY	4	1.93	207	100.00

TABLE 32  
FRANKLIN UNION DRUG TASK FORCE  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 280  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-METH	85	30.25	85	30.25
SALE	-METH	52	18.51	137	48.75
SALE	-MARIJUANA	29	10.32	166	59.07
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	19	6.76	185	65.84
POSS	-HEROIN	13	4.63	198	70.46
POSS	-MARIJUANA	12	4.27	210	74.73
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	12	4.27	222	79.00
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	11	3.91	233	82.92
NODRG	-WEAPONS	10	3.56	243	86.48
SALE	-LSD	10	3.56	253	90.04
SALE	-COCAINE	5	1.78	258	91.81
POSS	-COCAINE	4	1.42	262	93.24
POSS	-CRACK	4	1.42	266	94.66
POSS	-ECSTASY	4	1.42	270	96.09
SALE	-HEROIN	4	1.42	274	97.51
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	2	0.71	276	98.22
SALE	-CRACK	2	0.71	278	98.93
NODRG	-KIDNAP	1	0.36	279	99.29
NODRG	-OTHER	1	0.36	280	99.64
POSS	-OTHER	1	0.36	281	100.00

TABLE 33  
SOUTHWEST MO MAJOR CASE SQUAD  
DRUG AND NON DRUG ARREST CHARGES  
TOTAL ARRESTS = 122  
QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

The FREQ Procedure

CHARGE TYPE					
DRUG		Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
POSS	-METH	85	30.25	85	30.25
SALE	-METH	52	18.51	137	48.75
SALE	-MARIJUANA	29	10.32	166	59.07
POSS	-PSUEDOEPHEDRINE	19	6.76	185	65.84
POSS	-HEROIN	13	4.63	198	70.46
POSS	-MARIJUANA	12	4.27	210	74.73
POSS	-PARAPHERNALIA	12	4.27	222	79.00
NODRG	-CHILD ENDANG	11	3.91	233	82.92
NODRG	-WEAPONS	10	3.56	243	86.48
SALE	-LSD	10	3.56	253	90.04
SALE	-COCAINE	5	1.78	258	91.81
POSS	-COCAINE	4	1.42	262	93.24
POSS	-CRACK	4	1.42	266	94.66
POSS	-ECSTASY	4	1.42	270	96.09
SALE	-HEROIN	4	1.42	274	97.51
POSS	-ANHYDROUS AMMONIA	2	0.71	276	98.22
SALE	-CRACK	2	0.71	278	98.93
NODRG	-KIDNAP	1	0.36	279	99.29
NODRG	-OTHER	1	0.36	280	99.64
POSS	-OTHER	1	0.36	281	100.00

TABLE 34  
DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	NO. DRUG BUYS	NO. REVERSE DRUG BUYS	NO. FREE SAMPLES	VALUE OF DRUGS BOUGHT	VALUE OF REVERSE DRUGS BOUGHT	TOTAL VALUE OF BUYS	VALUE OF FREE SAMPLES
	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE							
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	49	0	0	\$13,050	0	\$13,050	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	149	1	0	\$11,430	\$150	\$11,580	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	146	0	0	\$77,167	0	\$77,167	0
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	52	2	1	\$9,935	\$2,200	\$12,135	\$20
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	90	0	0	\$29,746	0	\$29,746	0
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	129	0	0	\$93,185	0	\$93,185	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	101	0	0	\$18,190	0	\$18,190	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	56	0	1	\$10,478	0	\$10,478	\$40
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	17	1	0	\$800	\$50	\$850	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	287	0	0	\$31,341	0	\$31,341	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	36	0	4	\$18,227	0	\$18,227	\$2,665
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	30	1	0	\$6,085	\$300	\$6,385	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	58	0	0	\$13,900	0	\$13,900	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	66	1	0	\$4,600	\$60	\$4,660	0

TABLE 34 (cont.)  
 DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	NO. DRUG BUYS	NO. REVERSE DRUG BUYS	NO. FREE SAMPLES	VALUE OF DRUGS BOUGHT	VALUE OF REVERSE DRUGS BOUGHT	TOTAL VALUE OF BUYS	VALUE OF FREE SAMPLES
	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE							
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	155	0	0	\$38,970	0	\$38,970	0
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	4	0	0	\$180	0	\$180	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	302	11	1	\$42,685	\$2,952	\$45,637	\$115
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	368	2	0	\$429,386	\$11,550	\$440,936	0
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	311	0	1	\$92,530	0	\$92,530	\$60
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	18	0	0	\$23,700	0	\$23,700	0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	109	0	3	\$9,620	0	\$9,620	\$23
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	68	0	0	\$13,746	0	\$13,746	0
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	127	0	0	\$15,851	0	\$15,851	0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	9	0	0	\$910	0	\$910	0
NITRO-CAMERON TF	16	0	0	\$13,825	0	\$13,825	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	35	2	0	\$17,250	\$1,500	\$18,750	0
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	34	0	0	\$7,630	0	\$7,630	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2822	21	11	\$1,044,417	\$18,762	\$1,063,179	\$2,923

TABLE 35  
NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	NO. ACTIVE INFORMANTS	DOLLARS EXPENDED ON INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	0	\$0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	65	\$12,610
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	28	\$8,392
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	1	\$20
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	34	\$3,990
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	11	\$3,505
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	37	\$2,830
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	7	\$383
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	4	\$400
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	3	\$245
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	62	\$14,735
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	1	\$5
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	9	\$555
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	8	\$6,601
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	15	\$825

TABLE 35 (cont.)  
NUMBER OF ACTIVE INFORMANTS AND DOLLARS EXPENDED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	NO. ACTIVE INFORMANTS	DOLLARS EXPENDED ON INFORMANTS
	Sum	Sum
DRUG TASK FORCE		
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	29	\$2,674
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	\$0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	124	\$6,082
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	18	\$3,465
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	117	\$25,890
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	75	\$19,800
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	3	\$150
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	12	\$3,009
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	23	\$4,235
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	\$0
NITRO-CAMERON TF	0	\$0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	2	\$450
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	6	\$1,510
STATEWIDE TOTAL	694	\$122,361

TABLE 36  
OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE	ANHYDR- OUS AMMONIA	OTHER DRUGS
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	20.25	0.37	1.64	1.30	0.58	0.11	0	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	23.91	0.27	2.47	1.08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	119.67	11.99	15.15	15.49	0.05	0	0	0	0	0	0
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	15.88	2.33	1.65	3.62	0	0	0	0.02	0	0	1.06
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	733.18	2.61	0.11	5.87	0	178.00	0	0.53	0	0	0
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0.44	18.51	14.55	31.09	0.17	0	0	0	0	0	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	327.48	0.15	0.81	1.79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	22.95	2.71	0.56	0.04	6.71	0	0	0	0	0	0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	5.08	0	0.02	0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	558.64	2.24	9.62	0.56	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	29.64	0	0	1.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	7.25	0	0	1.85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	21.16	0.49	2.93	1.87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	14.61	1.87	0.70	0.04	0	0	0	0	0.99	0	0

TABLE 36 (cont.)  
OUNCES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE	ANHYDR- OUS AMMONIA	OTHER DRUGS
	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES	OUNCES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	73.77	0.12	5.54	17.72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	1.27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	110.78	0.83	12.13	9.80	0.03	0	0	0	0	0	0
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	162.98	45.50	4.49	0.08	0.09	0	0	0.35	0	0	0
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	214.86	33.09	12.32	0.30	3.64	0	0	1.62	0.21	0	0.07
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	118.51	2.49	18.38	0.25	2.49	0	0	0	0	0	0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	52.89	1.36	0.78	1.56	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.31
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	13.33	1.08	0.01	2.26	0	0	0	0	0	0	37.45
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	21.56	4.12	1.02	0.95	0.04	0	0	0	0	0	0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	3.76	0	0	0.11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NITRO-CAMERON TF	16.00	2.00	0	5.83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	181.25	0.19	3.07	3.37	0.32	0	0	0	0	0	0
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	31.71	0	0	1.98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	2902.81	134.32	107.95	110.13	14.13	178.11	0	2.52	1.20	0	39.89

TABLE 37  
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE	ANHYDR- OUS AMMONI- A*	OTHER DRUGS
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	40	0	0	124
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	38
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	4	0	0	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	0	0	71
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	746	0	0	0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	1000	0	44
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	10	0	20	1311	40	60	57
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	80	43

TABLE 37  
DOSES OF DRUGS OBTAINED BY PURCHASES AND FREE SAMPLES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE	ANHYDR- OUS AMMONI- A*	OTHER DRUGS
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
PLATTE CO MULTI JUR ENF GRP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	309
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	61	220	0	822	0	0	41
STL CO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	0	0
METRO MULTI JUR UNDR CVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	20	0	0	0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	135
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	590
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	448
MID-MO MULTI JUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
NITRO-CAMERON TF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1000	0	0	0
FRANKLIN UNION TF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	92
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	0	0	0	0	115	279	20	4227	1040	140	2110

\*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 38  
PROCESS STATUS OF SEARCH WARRANTS AND CONSENT SEARCHES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	WARRANTS APPLIED FOR	WARRANTS AUTHORIZED	WARRANTS SERVED	WARRANTS SERVED/ARREST MADE		CONSENT SEARCHES
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	13	13	13	13	100.0	82
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	47	47	46	46	100.0	67
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	19	19	19	19	100.0	31
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	10	10	10	10	100.0	5
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	74	74	74	74	100.0	168
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	43	43	43	42	97.7	29
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	91	91	76	76	100.0	48
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	21	21	21	19	90.5	205
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	6	6	6	6	100.0	1252
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	18	18	17	17	100.0	13
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	48	48	48	48	100.0	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	19	19	19	19	100.0	174
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	33	33	33	32	97.0	142
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	17	17	16	15	93.8	20
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	24	24	24	21	87.5	21

TABLE 38 (cont.)  
PROCESS STATUS OF SEARCH WARRANTS AND CONSENT SEARCHES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	WARRANTS			WARRANTS		
	APPLIED	WARRANTS	WARRANTS	SERVED/ARREST	CONSENT	
	FOR	AUTHORIZED	SERVED	MADE	SEARCHES	
	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	FREQ	%	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE						
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	18	18	18	17	94.4	64
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	13	13	13	13	100.0	44
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	127	127	127	90	70.9	85
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	55	55	55	54	98.2	132
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	89	87	85	55	64.7	453
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	102	102	102	79	77.5	34
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	16	16	16	16	100.0	42
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	30	30	30	30	100.0	38
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	21	21	21	20	95.2	25
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	49	49	49	49	100.0	296
NITRO-CAMERON TF	37	36	36	27	75.0	67
FRANKLIN UNION TF	13	13	13	13	100.0	40
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	17	17	17	16	94.1	29
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1070	1067	1047	936	89.4	3606

TABLE 39  
 DRUG ORGANIZATION PROCESSING  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	NEW ORG CHARTS MADE	NEW ORG IDENT
	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE		
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	1	0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	0	0
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	0	0
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	1	5
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	4	4
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	2
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	12	12
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0	2
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	0	9
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	0	3
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	0	3
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	0	5
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	0	0

TABLE 39 (cont.)  
 DRUG ORGANIZATION PROCESSING  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	NEW ORG CHARTS MADE	NEW ORG IDENT
	FREQ	FREQ
DRUG TASK FORCE		
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	1
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	0	0
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	4	1
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	0	5
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	33	79
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	0	8
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	0	0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	0	4
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	0	0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	10	10
NITRO-CAMERON TF	0	3
FRANKLIN UNION TF	6	6
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	0	0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	71	162

TABLE 40  
ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	OUNCES WILD MARIJUANA	OUNCES CULT MARIJU- ANA	OUNCES SINS MARIJU- ANA	PLANTS WILD MARIJUANA	PLANTS CULT MARIJUANA	PLANTS SINS MARIJUANA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE						
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON		800.00			15	100
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE						
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP						
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE					1	
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO				9	78	
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE					9	
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO					19	
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT						
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP						
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE			32.00	1	27	686
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE				760	100	
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	384000.00					
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE					294	

TABLE 40 (cont.)  
ERADICATED MARIJUANA OUNCES  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	OUNCES WILD MARIJUANA	OUNCES CULT MARIJU- ANA	OUNCES SINS MARIJU- ANA	PLANTS WILD MARIJUANA	PLANTS CULT MARIJUANA	PLANTS SINS MARIJUANA
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE						
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE				20	12	
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP						
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE					91	
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE		16.00			406	
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE		2641.99		10	157	
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY					218	
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO					142	
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO				36		
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE						8
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE		384.00		600	241	
NITRO-CAMERON TF		52800.0				
FRANKLIN UNION TF		1920.00			60	
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD				7	186	
STATEWIDE TOTAL	384000.00	58562.0	32.00	1,443	2,056	794

TABLE 41  
DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	METH LABS
	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE	
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	8
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	5
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	24
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	10
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	27
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	7
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	52
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	211
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	7
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	100
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	17
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	3
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	21
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	5

TABLE 41 (cont.)  
 DESTROYED METHAMPHETAMINE LABS  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	METH LABS
TOTAL	
DRUG TASK FORCE	
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	1
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	34
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	113
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	68
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	2
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	16
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	23
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	36
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	66
NITRO-CAMERON TF	8
FRANKLIN UNION TF	26
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	16
STATEWIDE TOTAL	906

TABLE 42  
OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES	ECSTASY OUNCES	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE OUNCES	ANHYDR- OUS AMM. OUNCES	OTHER DRUGS OUNCES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE												
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	\$1,623,835	3,177.00	219.83	31.93	3.24	105.68						16.00
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	\$197,331	372.68	5.57	6.25	28.10		0.53		0.14			
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	\$204,415	252.58	3.07		12.07					3.12		
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	\$6,150	25.54			3.98							
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	\$763,117	4,513.18	2139.42	0.15	58.36		0.07					0.12
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$164,329	457.12	7.31	0.81	21.95							0.28
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	\$1,384,530	1,589.84	4339.80	2.57	4.87			3.00		0.59		0.02
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	\$525,185	1,184.10	4.16	3.06	95.40	7.10				5.40	3184.00	2.86
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$16,090,634	46,407.28	1853.91	7.98	260.16	462.26		527.73				543.94
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	\$250,481	410.88	2.02	0.41	26.47				0.49	0.14		
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	\$75,826	120.67	46.88	9.50	0.43					1.06		
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	\$678,770	6,680.19	0.13	2.00	69.22	64.19			104.05	5.82		
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	\$400,428	452.22	1.47		66.02							
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	\$71,345	1,221.78	0.49	0.25	19.83				0.53			5.00
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE	\$38,500	27.31	1.68	0.39	20.78						4512.00	

TABLE 42 (cont.)  
OUNCES OF DRUGS SEIZED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	VALUE OF DRUGS SEIZED	MARIJUANA OUNCES	COCAINE OUNCES	CRACK OUNCES	METH OUNCES	HEROIN OUNCES	LSD OUNCES	PCP OUNCES	ECSTASY OUNCES	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE OUNCES	ANHYDR- OUS AMM. OUNCES	OTHER DRUGS OUNCES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE												
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE	\$217,590	810.92	0.73	1.63	19.57					0.38		
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP	\$65,265	199.86	2.73	1.71	22.26							0.25
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	\$974,731	13,642.60	7.36	11.24	29.77	0.29				0.56		
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	\$621,487	3,041.79	163.59	17.03	427.42	0.62			2.00	139.99		603.59
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$6,580,906	58,531.92	1852.44	37.00	214.96	19.82		0.02	93.85	32.73	0.49	135.81
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	\$1,497,429	14,624.83	7207.78	524.44	152.90	78.65		0.14	1.13			0.57
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	\$58,037	1,740.16			15.30							
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	\$303,838	3,170.29	1.02		11.61							5.00
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	\$367,970	1,728.67	1.90	1.86	47.03				0.18	4.64		
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	\$1,529,882	3,840.67	44.16	6.00	4888.37	0.07				57.08	90.00	1.59
NITRO-CAMERON TF	\$740,000	5,233.73	59.40		170.08							
FRANKLIN UNION TF	\$410,923	5,880.44	0.53	0.42	13.47	0.60				28.65		0.42
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	\$60,887	50.55	0.21		17.26							
STATEWIDE TOTAL	\$35,903,821	179,388.80	17967.6	666.63	6720.88	739.28	0.60	530.89	202.37	280.16	7786.49	1315.45

TABLE 43  
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE	ANHYDR- OUS AMMONI- A*	OTHER DRUG
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON								1369	300		1571
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE					92	6		7	730	10	3050
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP								824			80
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE									192		
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO								112		3	844
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE											192
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE							100	3	160		8
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO								11	714	29	429
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE								4061			
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT											62
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP								23			
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE								20	1116	284	41
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE											121
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE								245			244
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE					14			34			40

\*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 43  
DOSES OF DRUGS SEIZED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007

	MARIJUANA	COCAINE	CRACK	METH	HEROIN	LSD	PCP	ECSTASY	PSUEDO- /EPHED- RINE	ANHYDR- OUS AMMONI- A*	OTHER DRUG
	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES	DOSES
DRUG TASK FORCE											
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE											103
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP								36			392
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE						41		176		6	475
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE					35	226		1817		12	459
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE						300	115	2617	6442	1257	5175
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY					278			42			13
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO										240	501
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO								1			189
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE								2	68	6	166
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE								21		155	1879
NITRO-CAMERON TF								20		1	
FRANKLIN UNION TF									500	196	200
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD					1000					6	374
STATEWIDE TOTAL					1419	573	215	11440	10222	2205	16607

\*Anhydrous ammonia is measured in gallons.

TABLE 44  
QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY SEIZED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY REAL EST/BLDG	VALUE REAL EST/BLDG	QNTY REAL EST/LAND	VALUE REAL EST/LAND	QNTY PERSONAL PROP	VALUE PERSONAL PROP	QNTY MOTOR VEHICLES	VALUE MOTOR VEHICLES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON								
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE							1	\$22,000
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP								
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE								
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO							1	\$1,500
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE								
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE							1	\$20,000
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO							3	\$30,000
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE					37	\$1,134		
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT								
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP								
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE								
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE							1	\$23,200
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE							1	\$15,000
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE								

TABLE 44 (cont.)  
 QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY SEIZED  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY REAL EST/BLDG	VALUE REAL EST/BLDG	QNTY REAL EST/LAND	VALUE REAL EST/LAND	QNTY PERSONAL PROP	VALUE PERSONAL PROP	QNTY MOTOR VEHICLES	VALUE MOTOR VEHICLES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE								
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP							1	\$1,000
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE							2	\$31,384
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE								
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE							3	\$40,000
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY							42	\$210,000
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO								
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO							9	\$100,000
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE								
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE							3	\$37,000
NITRO-CAMERON TF							9	\$20,000
FRANKLIN UNION TF							3	\$20,000
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD							1	\$1,000
STATEWIDE TOTAL					37	\$1,134	81	\$572,084

TABLE 44 (cont.)  
QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY SEIZED  
BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY WEAPONS	VALUE WEAPONS	VALUE CURRENCY	VALUE OTHER ASSESTS	TOT VALUE PROP SEIZED
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE					
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON	57	\$21,100	\$128,761		\$149,861
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE	15	\$6,700	\$32,156		\$60,856
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP	6	\$3,000	\$176,075		\$179,075
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE	2	\$500	\$9,889		\$10,389
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO	69	\$20,300	\$93,591		\$115,391
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	32	\$26,900	\$71,241		\$98,141
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE	36	\$16,900	\$197,809		\$234,709
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO	23	\$15,000	\$40,553		\$85,553
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	26	\$625	\$738,089		\$739,848
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT	63	\$21,900	\$6,000	\$1,100	\$29,000
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP	8	\$2,700	\$49,795		\$52,495
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE	26	\$8,000	\$24,204		\$32,204
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE	14	\$2,800	\$74,943		\$100,943
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE	3	\$1,150	\$24,657		\$40,807
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE					\$0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0

TABLE 44 (cont.)  
 QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY SEIZED  
 BY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY WEAPONS	VALUE WEAPONS	VALUE CURRENCY	VALUE OTHER ASSESTS	TOT VALUE PROP SEIZED
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE					
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP			\$29,695		\$30,695
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE	57	\$5,900	\$90,436		\$127,720
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE	74	\$10,650	\$172,232		\$182,882
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE	55	\$24,998	\$187,830		\$252,828
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCVR DRUG PROG. STL CITY	105	\$57,750	\$2,069,159		\$2,336,909
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO	11	\$2,800			\$2,800
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO	37	\$12,400	\$107,305		\$219,705
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE	7	\$3,850	\$10,535		\$14,385
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE			\$57,420		\$94,420
NITRO-CAMERON TF	92	\$13,200	\$5,771		\$38,971
FRANKLIN UNION TF	151	\$10,800	\$100,000		\$130,800
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD	39	\$10,750	\$13,200		\$24,950
STATEWIDE TOTAL	1008	\$300,673	\$4,511,346	\$1,100	\$5,386,337

TABLE 45  
QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY FORFEITED  
TO MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY REAL EST/BLDG	VALUE REAL EST/BLDG	QNTY REAL EST/LAND	VALUE REAL EST/LAND	QNTY PERSONAL PROP	VALUE PERSONAL PROP	QNTY MOTOR VEHICLES	VALUE MOTOR VEHICLES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON								
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE								
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP								
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE								
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO								
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE								
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE								
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO								
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE					300			
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT							1	\$6,500
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP								
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE								
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE							1	\$23,200
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE								
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE								

TABLE 45 (cont.)  
 QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY FORFEITED  
 TO MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY REAL EST/BLDG	VALUE REAL EST/BLDG	QNTY REAL EST/LAND	VALUE REAL EST/LAND	QNTY PERSONAL PROP	VALUE PERSONAL PROP	QNTY MOTOR VEHICLES	VALUE MOTOR VEHICLES
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE								
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE								
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP							1	\$3,000
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE							2	\$12,475
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE								
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE								
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY								
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO								
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO								
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE								
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE								
NITRO-CAMERON TF								
FRANKLIN UNION TF								
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD								
STATEWIDE TOTAL					300		5	\$45,175

TABLE 45 (cont.)  
QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY FORFEITED  
TO MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY WEAPONS	VALUE WEAPONS	VALUE CURRENCY	VALUE OTHER ASSESTS	TOT VALUE PROP FORFEITED
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE					
N COUNTY MUNICIPAL ENF GRP-BRIDGETON					\$0
BUCHANAN CO DRUG STRIKE FORCE			\$7,821		\$7,821
LAKE AREA NARCOTICS ENF GRP					\$0
W CENTRAL LAW ENF DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
COMBINED OZARKS MULTIJUR ENF TEAM- GREENE CO			\$145,107		\$145,107
JACKSON CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
JASPER CO DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
NARC. ACTIVITIES REDCTN. COALTN.- JEFFERSON CO					\$0
KC MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
LAFAYETTE CO NARCOTICS UNIT			\$97,598		\$104,098
MID-MO UNIFIED STRIKE TEAM/NARC GRP					\$0
MINERAL AREA DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
SW MO DRUG TASK FORCE					\$23,200
CLAY COUNTY DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
NE MO NARCOTICS TASK FORCE					\$0
BOOTHEEL DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0

TABLE 45 (cont.)  
 QUANTITY AND VALUE OF PROPERTY FORFEITED  
 TO MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL DRUG TASK FORCE

QTRS 1 - 4, 2007	QNTY WEAPONS	VALUE WEAPONS	VALUE CURRENCY	VALUE OTHER ASSESTS	TOT VALUE PROP FORFEITED
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL
DRUG TASK FORCE					
PLATTE CO MULTIJUR ENF GRP			\$9,627		\$12,627
SE MISSOURI DRUG TASK FORCE			\$81,249		\$93,724
ST CHARLES CO REG. DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
STL CO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE			\$17,643		\$17,643
METRO MULTIJUR UNDRCLR DRUG PROG. STL CITY					\$0
N MO DRUG TASK FORCE-ADAIR CO					\$0
S CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE-HOWELL CO					\$0
E CENTRAL DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
MID-MO MULTIJUR DRUG TASK FORCE					\$0
NITRO-CAMERON TF					\$0
FRANKLIN UNION TF					\$0
CEDAR CO SOUTHWEST MAJOR CASE SQUAD					\$0
STATEWIDE TOTAL			\$359,045		\$404,220

# **Attachment B**

## **Criminal Laboratories Fiscal Year 2007 Summary Report**

TABLE 1  
COMPLETION STATUS OF CASES DURING REPORTING PERIOD  
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2007	CASES COMPLETED		CASES PENDING		TOTAL ACTIVE CASES	
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %
CRIME LABORATORY						
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	13395	93.5	932	6.5	14327	100.0
MSSC REGIONAL CRIME LAB	2594	75.3	850	24.7	3444	100.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	4111	90.4	437	9.6	4548	100.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	8361	91.3	800	8.7	9161	100.0
TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	177	86.3	28	13.7	205	100.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	5076	54.1	4298	45.9	9374	100.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	1556	97.7	37	2.3	1593	100.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	1591	88.7	203	11.3	1794	100.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	3770	87.0	564	13.0	4334	100.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	2668	89.0	329	11.0	2997	100.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1804	92.8	140	7.2	1944	100.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	1089	79.3	284	20.7	1373	100.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	1467	97.7	34	2.3	1501	100.0
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	1000	82.9	206	17.1	1206	100.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	48659	84.2	9142	15.8	57801	100.0

TABLE 2  
DRUG TEST STATUS OF CASE EXAMINATIONS  
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2007	COMPLETED EXAMS		DRUG TESTS NOT REQUESTED		DRUGS NOT IDENTIFIED		DRUGS IDENTIFIED	
	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %	CASES	ROW %
CRIME LABORATORY								
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	13395	100.0	11138	83.2	46	0.3	2211	16.5
MSSC REGIONAL CRIME LAB	2594	100.0	1128	43.5	28	1.1	1438	55.4
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	4111	100.0	0	0.0	349	8.5	3762	91.5
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	8361	100.0	4062	48.6	311	3.7	3988	47.7
TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	177	100.0	67	37.9	5	2.8	105	59.3
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	5076	100.0	2263	44.6	123	2.4	2690	53.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	1556	100.0	0	0.0	72	4.6	1484	95.4
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	1591	100.0	386	24.3	56	3.5	1149	72.2
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	3770	100.0	435	11.5	263	7.0	3072	81.5
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	2668	100.0	1115	41.8	97	3.6	1456	54.6
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1804	100.0	255	14.1	31	1.7	1518	84.1
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	1089	100.0	171	15.7	31	2.8	887	81.5
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	1467	100.0	319	21.7	56	3.8	1092	74.4
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	1000	100.0	0	0.0	10	1.0	990	99.0
STATEWIDE TOTAL	48659	100.0	21339	43.9	1478	3.0	25842	53.1

TABLE 3  
DRUGS AND PRECURSORS DETECTED IN  
CASES INVOLVING CLANDESTINE LABS  
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2007	METH FINAL PRODUCT		METH PRECURSORS		METH PRODUCT & PRECURSORS		LSD		PCP		OTHER CLAN LAB	
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY												
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	3	2.8	0	0.0	4	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MSSC REGIONAL CRIME LAB	0	0.0	3	3.0	40	20.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	10	9.2	15	15.2	11	5.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	4	3.7	0	0.0	1	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	14	12.8	12	12.1	29	14.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	78.6
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	5	4.6	3	3.0	6	3.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	40	36.7	40	40.4	55	27.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	2	1.8	3	3.0	7	3.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	14.3
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	7	6.4	7	7.1	8	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	9	8.3	3	3.0	5	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	8	7.3	6	6.1	24	12.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	7	6.4	7	7.1	7	3.5	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	7.1
STATEWIDE TOTAL	109	100.0	99	100.0	199	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	14	100.0

TABLE 4  
DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN CASES NOT INVOLVING CLAN LABS  
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2007	MARIJUANA		COCAINE		CRACK		METH		HEROIN/OPIATE		LSD		PCP		OTHER DRUGS	
	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %	CASES	COL %
CRIME LABORATORY																
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	409	3.6	98	5.0	826	15.3	355	7.2	28	1.1	1	4.2	37	39.4	456	12.3
MSSC REGIONAL CRIME LAB	457	4.1	50	2.6	57	1.1	681	13.9	49	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	101	2.7
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	1527	13.5	290	14.9	786	14.6	160	3.3	298	11.7	5	20.8	4	4.3	682	18.3
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	1766	15.7	190	9.7	1858	34.4	32	0.7	801	31.4	0	0.0	41	43.6	238	6.4
TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	96	0.9	4	0.2	0	0.0	12	0.2	2	0.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	0.4
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	1185	10.5	298	15.3	523	9.7	611	12.4	251	9.8	3	12.5	3	3.2	347	9.3
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	879	7.8	154	7.9	192	3.6	290	5.9	112	4.4	0	0.0	2	2.1	185	5.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	523	4.6	85	4.4	111	2.1	203	4.1	155	6.1	1	4.2	0	0.0	187	5.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	1502	13.3	257	13.2	259	4.8	1429	29.1	354	13.9	6	25.0	4	4.3	732	19.7
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	561	5.0	177	9.1	354	6.6	97	2.0	145	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	122	3.3
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1071	9.5	80	4.1	58	1.1	379	7.7	168	6.6	1	4.2	0	0.0	224	6.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	462	4.1	68	3.5	113	2.1	217	4.4	40	1.6	0	0.0	1	1.1	92	2.5
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	577	5.1	144	7.4	132	2.4	67	1.4	86	3.4	6	25.0	0	0.0	223	6.0
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	261	2.3	57	2.9	130	2.4	377	7.7	60	2.4	1	4.2	2	2.1	117	3.1
STATEWIDE TOTAL	11276	100.0	1952	100.0	5399	100.0	4910	100.0	2549	100.0	24	100.0	94	100.0	3722	100.0

TABLE 5  
AVERAGE DRUG CASE PROCESSING TIME BY CRIME LABORATORY  
QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2007  
STATEWIDE AVERAGE IS WEIGHTED BY  
THE NUMBER OF CASES OF EACH LABORATORY

LABID	AVERAGE PROCESSING TIME - DAYS
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	160.7
MSSC REGIONAL CRIME LAB	75.8
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	56.9
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	1.0
TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	25.1
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	69.1
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	23.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	30.6
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	68.8
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	30.5
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	51.8
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	23.0
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	47.1
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	6.2
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	70.8

TABLE 6  
IDENTIFICATION OF NEW ILLICIT DRUGS  
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2007	TOTAL NEW ILLICIT DRUG CASES	
	TOTAL	COL %
LABID		
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	0	0.0
MSSC REGIONAL CRIME LAB	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	30	73.2
TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	0	0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	1	2.4
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	2	4.9
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	8	19.5
STATEWIDE TOTAL	41	100.0

TABLE 7  
IDENTIFICATION OF RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUGS  
BY CRIME LABORATORY

QTRS 1 - 4, FY 2007	TOTAL RESURGENT ILLICIT DRUG CASES	
	TOTAL	COL %
LABID		
KCPD LAB RESPONSE	0	0.0
MSSC REGIONAL CRIME LAB	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS CO CRIME LAB	0	0.0
ST. LOUIS METRO POLICE LAB	0	0.0
TRUMAN STATE UNIVERSITY	0	0.0
MSHP TECHNICAL LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP B SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP C SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP D SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP E SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
MSHP TROOP G SATELLITE LAB	4	3.4
MSHP TROOP H SATELLITE LAB	0	0.0
ST. CHARLES COUNTY CRIME LAB	89	76.7
INDEPENDENCE REG. CRIME LAB	23	19.8
STATEWIDE TOTAL	116	100.0